A & D S



## Lossiemouth Connects Design Priorities Workshop

14<sup>th</sup> of November 2019

## Workshop Summary

#### Introduction

In September 2019 Architecture and Design Scotland (ADS) were asked to assist Moray Council to facilitate a community conversation regarding the future of the recently closed Lossiemouth Seatown Bridge.

The conversation took place on the afternoon of 14th November, 2019 at Lossiemouth Town Hall. The Council and Scottish Government took part. This is a report of the key priorities as expressed on the day.

#### Place, Parts, Process approach to Priorities

At this event, we brought together local people and organisations to explore the power of collaboration in shaping places. We looked at what's happening in Lossiemouth and identified community priorities and opportunities associated with the footbridge link.

We explored the potential to further connect people, projects and assets and to develop shared sense of place through a collaborative approach.

During this workshop session, we invited participants to share their views on what is already happening and what is missing in the area. ADS facilitated a discussion to help stakeholders to prioritise the actions required to maximise the benefits of a re-instated footbridge. They shared ideas for new projects and ways to connect local energy and resources in Lossiemouth and the wider area.

The workshop told us about how local actions and assets link to the physical qualities of the Moray shoreline, and the ways they come together to make Lossiemouth a great place to live, work and play.

#### Methodology



From our work across Scotland, we advocate the importance of stakeholders agreeing their priorities as early as possible. We use a PPP (Place, Parts, Process) approach:

- Place relates to vision, status, and role of the investment.
- Parts includes the physical elements or 'hardware' necessary.
- Process is everything from governance to consultation, from briefing to design, from maintenance to promotion.

Three tables of mixed stakeholders went through a sequential discussion of their Place Priorities as follows.





#### **Place Discussion**

We asked the groups to think about what the bridge means to them, and why it matters. This meant discussing what its role was in the past, and what might it do, deliver, and stimulate in the future.

Participants talked about local pride and the bridge's regional, national, even international significance. We challenged people to voice what they saw as the 'vision' for the bridge in the life of Lossiemouth and beyond.

#### "Lossiemouth is the jewel of Moray. Without the bridge it loses its sparkle!"

#### Workshop Participant

The above word cloud shows the themes that emerged regarding context, community life, and business/tourism opportunities.

#### **Place Review**

We asked each participant group to 'boil down' these place elements. Coming out as most important were:

- Wellbeing
- Part of family and community life. An intergenerational asset
- Iconic 'USP' for the town and region
- Catchy name competition might reinvigorate interest
- Domestic and International appeal
- Public safety
- Connectivity between beaches and town, and to wider network of trails
- All weather amenity
- All year potential
- Twinnable asset worthy of promotion
- A statement of future commitment and confidence!









overtising leverage lcon solar Disabled materials Iconic setting Affordable advertising powered Position assess parking Car heritage disposal facilities Lighting all Safety catered Flood Tidal History Ownership enough Promote Emergency Protect Breakwater infrastructure wildlife tourist Elderly design part connections Waste planned options approach Funding proofed slats Climate ור paths de nterpretation associatedneeds inks campervans other riverAccessible **Eco-friendly** Showers Designed trail same Future maintenance OCa counters conversation

#### **Parts Discussion**

Moving on the workshop then discussed the physical form of the project

- What stuff do we need to deliver?
- Connections
- Ancillary hardware/investments/ considerations?
- Opportunities

We encouraged people to say what they needed 'physically' from the bridge. What elements must it have? We probed if it needed any special adaptations or attributes? We also asked the stakeholders to consider what other things should be delivered at same time in terms of links, lighting, signage, or equipment.

The word cloud above shows that resilience, sustainability, accessibility, and climate readiness came through in the discussion strongly as did usability for locals and tourists alike. Low maintenance solutions were advocated.







#### **Parts Review**

So, in summary what were the key 'parts' essential to incorporate in this project?

- Playabilty of the design
- Safety
- Sustainable material
- Accessible to all (including dogs)
- Built in capacity
- Business links
- Trail links
- Interpretation and links to heritage
- Innovative
- Lighting opportunities
- Future proofed
- Bridge as Gateway feature and signpost
- Outdoor classroom





#### **Process Discussion**

The process part of the workshop focused on how the project should be delivered. Looking at, amongst many other things:

- Governance
- Procurement and delivery
- Maintenance and Management
- Community and Business Models

Given local concerns regarding timescales, ownership, and stewardship we allowed a little more time for our discussion around processes. What became very clear, from a wide ranging set of considerations, was that 'certainty' was lacking and that this was causing real concern to both Moray Council and the wider community. We asked what things 'need' to be done to take control of the current situation. Beyond the bridge itself, there was considerable interest in engaging as many people as possible in the process, in a wide variety of associated activities.

In short, what are the processes needed to 're-make' the place? As the wordcloud (above) shows, communication with the community was key, as was certainty in the timescales. But more crucially, the community needed involvement from the very beginning in the governance process to provide trust in the process.

# Pite Curry Harris Harri

#### **Process Review**

Key 'process' elements were seen as:

- Communications strategy
- Budget
- Timeline-'meanwhile' uses,long-term, and long,long term
- Underwriting of risk
- Activities and events calendar
- Youth involvement
- Embrace sensitivities
- Clear business case
- Ownership and stewardship clearly established
- Sustainable ownership and management
- Board and steering group required





#### **Priorities Discussion**

Taking into account the outputs from the Place, Parts, and Process discussions the workshop then focused on what were the key priorities and tasks in the short, medium and longer term and including consideration of:

- Roles
- Timelines
- `Non-negotiables'

There is a strong desire to set out a 'route map' of allocated tasks and actions based on the priorities emerging from the Place Parts Process discussion. These are the key 'must dos', 'must haves', and 'must knows'. This ensures that stakeholders and those charged with delivering the project will be 'singing from the same hymn sheet' and will have a firm foundation to progress through the various stages of project delivery, engendering community and business confidence, as well as clear success criteria.



#### Key tasks and Next steps

Wrapping up the workshop the priorities seem to fall into the following:

- Answer 'will this get done'?
- Moray Council to take the lead in terms of ownership and delivery. Proposal to be submitted to council in December 2019.
- Who are key people? Core steering Group and wider Stakeholders group to be established in early 2020.
- Critical path to be developed.
- Meanwhile-uses plan
- Management and communication
- Options appraisal Spring 2020.
- Business case
- Post-build priorities (testing,marketing,and events). Build the loyalty
- Responsibility for old if new is chosen as part of Council decision.
- A realistic understanding of timescales (years not months)



#### **Concluding Thoughts**

Stephen Cooper, Head of Direct Services, Moray Council

"The workshop builds a good foundation for moving forward. The key elements for me were as follows: Ownership position now defined; Output from the workshop will support content of committee report to Moray Council; Expectation of community engagement (critical for shared ownership/stewardship of the project); Realistic timescales embraced; The 3 Ps approach (Place , Parts, and Process leading to Priorities) will provide a reference document for influencing project specification and future community engagement"

#### David Cowan, Head of Regeneration, Scottish Government

"A good workshop in my view and general consensus on ownership and wider 'place' approach and next steps. Some acceptance too of the timeframe although that will continue to be an issue."

#### Iain Morrison, Tourism, Scottish Government.

" The key element from my perspective was an acceptance of the potential timescale (and work that can be done in that period to support/build on Lossiemouth's tourism offer)."



# We believe in the power of design to improve people's lives

# Architecture & Design Scotland

Ailtearachd is Dealbhadh na h-Alba Bakehouse Close, 146 Canongate, Edinburgh EH8 8DD

The Lighthouse, Level 2, 11 Mitchell Lane, Glasgow G1 3NU

T: 0131 556 6699 E: info@ads.org.uk @: ArcDesSco