

Issue for Resolution	To look at whether H&SCM continue to utilise the cottages for service users known to HSCM			
Objectives	Providing an alternative solution for care in between for the Moray population who requires support from HSCM under critical and substantial eligibility criteria			
	Option A	Option B	Option C	Option D
Scope of Solution	Joint housing option	Provide Homeless Temporary Accommodation	Utilise Unscheduled Short Stay(USS) placements with in care homes	If there is no future need for these, hand back to Council Estates
Constraints	Applying the temp accommodation policy given the size of the cottages. Housing have dispersed homeless accommodation within communities and are currently meeting the demand through the Rapid Housing Transition plan with caveat around more complex cases (HSC needs).	The cottages could be let under the Temporary Accommodation Policy as temp accommodation doesn't have the same standard requirements of Scottish Assured Tenancies (SAT) Current trust requirements of the "elderly" "Common good" element attached to the cottages – this may limit the potential tenancy income	Care homes are registered for over 65 therefore not inclusive of the population Delays in obtaining variations to Care inspector registration therefore delays in meeting crisis intervention requirements. Availability of beds	
Dependencies	Service users are reluctant to move on from the cottages when a suitable property is found.		High risk if individuals becoming institutionalised and unable to return home as a result	

<p>Risks / Impacts on Other users</p>	<p>The homeless service users who also have complex HSC needs are not met easily through this process Policies would require to be implemented if service users refuse to move on from the cottages into more suitable long term accommodation. Trust requirements would need to consider ability to use the cottages for under 65 HSCM cannot manage rental income and therefore this would need to be managed via housing</p>		<p>Care home owners are not in agreement of unplanned admissions under USS. Risk that availability is consumed by a client group who require a less supportive environment but are assessed to meet the resource as opposed to personal outcomes. More vulnerable users are unable to have their needs met as a result of availability being occupied by a less dependent client group. Provides a limited range of options under SDS and choice and control</p>	<p>HSCM would require to meet the needs of those identified as requiring alternative accommodation via option B or C Reduce potential benefits for recovery, reablement and rehab</p>
<p>Service Requirements met</p>	<p>Yes - Hospital admission avoided Early discharge promoted Reduce system impact on other services eg homecare and care home placements Service user outcomes Need for ground floor due to mobility issues and Housing don't have a lot of these types of tenancies. Provides a more inclusive range of options in line with SDS and choice and control</p>	<p>Yes - Need for ground floor due to mobility issues and Housing don't have a lot of these types of tenancies.</p>	<p>No - Promotion of rehab and reablement very much curtailed utilising this option.</p>	<p>No – limits the option available under SDS and choice and control</p>

Affordability	Recovery through tenancy charge to cover maintenance under the Temp Accommodation charging policy including housing management fee.		The is not a sustainable model	This would reduce the financial costs associated with the cottages but increase costs elsewhere in the system as outlined in the report
Benefits / Improvement Opportunities	More inclusive provision to wider more diverse population.	More inclusive provision to wider more diverse population.	None	None
Quantitative	Increased occupancy rates			
Cash Releasing	Yes		No	
Non Cash Releasing				Yes
Qualitative	Meets service user outcomes for the wider population			

Issue for Resolution	To look at whether H&SCM continue to utilise the cottages for service users known to HSCM			
Objectives	Providing an alternative solution for care in between for the Moray population who requires support from HSCM under critical and substantial eligibility criteria			
	Option E	Option F		
Scope of Solution	Continue with the status quo.	Cottages are part of the accommodated respite		
Constraints				
Dependencies		Service users are reluctant to move on from the cottages when a suitable property is found/return home		
Risks / Impacts on Other users	Continue under the existing trust requirements			
Service Requirements met	No – not inclusive of a more diverse range of the population			
Affordability	More cost effective model than option C			
Benefits / Improvement Opportunities	Continues to meet the needs of limited client group across Moray	More inclusive provision to wider more diverse population. Trust requirements are met in relation to older people		
Quantitative				

<i>Cash Releasing</i>				
<i>Non Cash Releasing</i>				
<i>Qualitative</i>				