REFERENCE	MAP NO.	OBJECTIONS/COMMENTS	PROPOSED MODIFICATION IN RESPONSE	FURTHER CONSULTATIONS
BLUE LINE- FORRES	No map	<b>Objector</b> - The existing path that runs between 3 and 5 Twinning link is not used as often for access as it is from the end of corries way. The section of the path is prone to youths gathering and causing disturbances throughout spring summer and autumn by playing loud music carrying on kicking the property fences etc. The kids themselves are ok and when asked to move on the do so but then they come back the next night until asked to move then the next night is the same and so one. Between March and November I am asking these kids to move on almost every night. If this access was not there the kids in the neighbourhood would not hang about here. The path access goes between 2 disabled properties. There is also access to the path at the end of corries way which gets people direct access to the walking path that people use for their dogs. This access is not detailed on your map. People also access the pathway from the bottom of Twinning Link which is also not detailed on your map. Instead of having the access running between two disabled properties can this access be closed off and the other 2 access ways is used instead?	Modification: Remove section of existing 'blue' line path between 3 and 5 Twinning Park, Forres and add new existing blue line path from Balnageith Road past Noah's Ark Nursery to link with the existing path at the woodland to the rear of Corries Way. Reason: Request can be accommodated easily as not a Core Path and therefore not subject to the formal consultation process.	None required
BLUE LINE- RAFFORD	No map	<b>Comment</b> - There is one path missing, that I think should be recognised as an existing path, but not a core path. This runs from the proposed CCP21 at map reference NJ065 552, to 065 547, though Wester Brochloch to 062 546, and then joining CCP21 again at 059 549. I also support the modification to CCP21, to join the Dava Way at NJ 047 542 rather than further north, to alleviate concerns from residents at the west end of CCP21	Modification: Add new blue line path to the plan to indicate an existing path linking two points on CCP21 via Wester Brockloch Farm. Reason: Request can be accommodated easily as not a Core Path and therefore not subject to the formal consultation process.	None required
CCP19 FORRES	Map 1	<b>Objector</b> - The proposed New Candidate Core Path (CCP 19) gives direct and intrusive access onto the land and grounds of Drumduan School. In accordance with section 6(1)(b)(iii) of the Land Reform (Scotland) Act 2003, it is our understanding that access rights are not exercisable on land contiguous to and used for the purpose of a school.	<i>Modification: Remove the entirety of CCP19 from the plan.</i> Reason: Recent development of new classrooms at the school has resulted in expansion of the operational area of the school grounds; consequently the path which is a claimed Right of Way now goes through the centre of the school complex where before it was at the edge, compounding health and security concerns for school pupils. Core path designation would potentially further intensify security issues through increased path promotion and resultant potential increase in public usage.	All Drumduan Road residents nos 1 to 20 Responses: 3 residents for Core path designation and 2 for the modification to take it out. Forres Community Council Response: supports Core Path designation. Forres Footpaths Trust Response: supports mod to remove CP designation.
CCP20 RAFFORD	Map 2	<b>Objector</b> - CCP20 runs through The Old Steading private property. Whilst the driveway is an existing Right of Way for pedestrians, cyclists and equestrians only, there is no right of parking on the property, and if this existing Right of Way is to be a proposed Core Path it must be made absolutely clear that those arriving by vehicle will have to park in Old Road. This is already extremely narrow, and could lead to obstruction problems for those living on Old Road. It should also be noted that the owner of Blervie House has placed a number of obstructions on this route, including a partial wooden barrier at the beginning of the route on the edge of my property, and has placed very large logs across the route approximately 200 metres south of my property. These may need to be tackled if it is to provide access to the wheelchair-bound etc. Prior to his purchase	<i>Modification: Remove CCP20 designation in entirety</i> . Reason: to address the privacy concerns of objectors and those of Finderne Community Council.	Finderne Community Council. Response: Support modification to remove CCP20

of Blervie House this was used by the various property owners south of us in the	
woods for vehicular access, until he blocked it off.	
<b>Objector</b> - Not at all happy to have the footpath through my property changed	
from Right of Way to designated Core Path CCP20 which could encourage lots of	
people through 'Skene Meadows'. Having owned the property since 1978 and	
lived here since 1986, I know our regular local walkers and am happy to see them.	
They are polite and if they see anything unusual they tell me. What worries me if	
a stranger comes through and causes damage or drops a cigarette in the wood	
and starts a fire-who accepts responsibility? The quickest way to the wood from	
the main Rafford road-through James McNie's property. However the path which	
was previously always open has been changed by Mr Thompson of Blervie house	
who has reduced the opening to half its size by erecting a wooden fence. He also	
placed 3 huge logs across what is my property (I showed him my land registry	
papers to prove the point) and in spite of him twice promising to remove them	
more than 15 months ago they are still there.	
I would like to ask who actually is responsible to check all the Core Paths are kept	
clean and tidy and no rubbish is left behind. Presently i don't have this problem	
but feel it might happen. Definitely I am not in favour of the Core Path as I feel it would reduce the value of my property but am ok to continue to use the Right of	
Would reduce the value of my property but am ok to continue to use the Right of Way system.	
Finderne Community Council Objection - We are concerned that the proposed	
path CCP20 routes far too close to the property at Skene Meadows and fails to	
afford the owner "sufficient adjacent land" (SAL) as required by the Land Reform	
Act. As one of the key objectives to core path designation is the "promotion" of	
the routes to encourage use we have concerns that a number of the proposals	
could lead to significant vehicular access and car parking issues. This is particularly	
worrisome at the western ends of proposals CCP20 and CCP21 (access via a	
private road/track) and at the northern end of CCP24 (the only parking available	
being in passing place laybys on a single carriageway road).	
Objector - The access road leading from the Dava Way bridge at Newtyle to the	
proposed core paths CCP20, 21 & 25 is not maintained by Moray Council and is in	
bad repair especially after the snow and ice at the beginning of March 2018. Over	
the past 25+ years, it has fallen to local aging residents (60-85 years old) *to pay	
for and fill in* the potholes and ensure it is driveable for access to the 16 houses	
listed below:	
Blackhills Farm IV36 2SJ; Slatehaugh IV36 2SJ; Blackhills Bungalow IV36 2SJ; Cedar	
Cottage IV36 2SJ; Birchwood Cottage IV36 2SJ; Newtyle Croft IV36 2SJ; Solheim	
IV36 2SJ; 2 Woodside Cottage IV36 2SJ;	
1 Woodside Cottage IV36 2SJ; Woodside Croft IV36 2SJ; Woodside House IV36	
2SJ; Skene Meadows IV36 2RR; Lyneside Croft IV36 2RR;	
Lyndene IV36 2RR; Broomvale IV36 2RR; Rowan Cottage IV36 2RR.	
If there is even more traffic due to walkers using their own cars to drive to this	
area, parking and using the core paths, the state of the road will deteriorate even	
further. Furthermore, the hill leading to the majority of the houses listed above is	
not stable in wet, icy and snowy conditions due to the sand turning to mud and	
becoming exceptionally icy underneath the snow. Last week we were unable to	
drive up the hill for 4 days. There are 18 residents over 60 years old who live in	

		the houses with the IV36 2SJ postcode + Skene Meadows. We therefore formally object to these tracks becoming Core Paths.		
CCP21 RAFFORD	Map 3	<b>3 Objections</b> – These remain outstanding with the proposal still being to retain the CCP21 Core path designation with a small modification being proposed to link this with the Dava Way.	Modification: Retain CCP21 designation as proposed and add extention of the route (along the former western arm of CCP20) to link with the Dava Way. Reason: To accommodate a safe off road link from Rafford through to the Dava Way.	<u>Finderne Community Council</u> : Response: seeks removal of whole CCP21 designation in entirety.
CCP22 RAFFORD	Map 4	<b>Objector</b> - There is no parking available where the path is shown joining the main road at Granary Park. My expectation is that cars will be parked irresponsibly and thereby compromise the safety of residents vehicles entering and leaving their homes. The part of this road which passes my property is potholed and unmaintained, resulting in it being saturated in mud when wet. In winter this also becomes extremely icy for long periods. This will encourage users to detour off the path through my garden which is currently in grass (and not fenced). I object to this probability. Will the council responsibilities include path/road maintenance, including liability for all injuries sustained by users?	Modification: Remove western section of CCP22 designation between the B9010 and no. 17 Granary Park and extend designation along Granary Park public road to link with B9010. Reason: To address the concerns of an objector and those of Finderne Community Council whilst still allowing a through connection to the B9010.	Finderne Community Council Response: support modification to remove western part of CCP22 and add extension along the public road.
CCP24 RAFFORD	Map 5	<ul> <li>Finderne Community Council objection- As one of the key objectives to core path designation is the "promotion" of the routes to encourage use we have concerns that a number of the proposals could lead to significant vehicular access and car parking issues. This is particularly worrisome at the western ends of proposals CCP20 and CCP21 (access via a private road/track) and at the northern end of CCP24 (the only parking available being in passing place laybys on a single carriageway road).</li> <li>Objector-This is a woodland path, which I myself cleared &amp; tidied for dog walkers, horse riders &amp; pony club children over 40 yrs. ago. This track has 'moved' through the years due to wood extraction, fence lines altering &amp; ownership changes. The path becomes very muddy, slippery, guttery&amp; messy in wet/ winter weather. I do not see it suitable as a core path. Further to unsuitability, the local dog walkers are aware of the wild fauna &amp; bird populations in the wood; their dogs are in control as the farmer has stock (mainly sheep) in the adjoining field most of the year. There is an under-planting scheme ongoing in the woodland, which involves clearing, thinning, &amp; extracting wood (rather more regularly than 40 years ago!) There are two gated tracks which prevent roe deer getting into the Knock House gardens (open to the Scottish garden scheme annually) &amp; need not be entered if walking or riding. I have not found out whether the Church of Scotland, Rafford, would 'entertain' cars parking in a limited parking area , but there is NO parking on the N E end of the planned route so I would suggest the "start / finish" points * are totally unnecessary &amp; if nothing else review the route, please. The proposal of CCP 24 is superfluous.</li> <li>Objector-</li> <li>1. The first part of the route is over the private drive and within the garden of Knock house.</li> <li>2. The second part of this route invades the privacy of the property by going</li> </ul>	Modification: Delete the entirety of the proposed CCP24 Core Path designation. Reason: To respond to two objectors concerns and those of Finderne Community Council	None required

		<ul> <li>through a gate which serves as an intentional barrier to quad bikers and other recreational vehicles that have been in the past using the timber extraction route. It also prevents agricultural animals from the neighbouring field getting onto our drive and garden.</li> <li>This area is also under planted with trees and shrubs to provide further shelter from the exposed east wind aspect. As a woodland edge it provides a suitable habitat area for ground nesting birds and animals which area readily disturbed by dog-walkers.</li> <li>The reason this route exists at all is to allow tractor access to the woodland. It was in use this spring and also later this summer and regularly in the future.</li> <li>In the interests of safety, by personal permission, horse riders from the Mains of Blervie and Templestones use the timber extraction route to bypass and avoid using the lower end of the narrow single track public road from Templestones to the Forres/Dallas Road at the Rafford war memorial. This very blind corner and awkward T junction is seriously dangerous for horse use.</li> <li>Stacks of loose timber branches and tree tops are on the edges of this area throughout the year and are a source of potential accidents to walkers with uncontrolled children. See recent court cases on timber stack accidents.</li> <li>The neighbouring farmer has sheep all year round on the adjacent fields. It is particularly unwise to open the areas by public advertising of a Core Path in view of the significant increase in sheep worrying and injuries by people exercising their dogs without proper control. See recent complaints in farming and national press and recent personal observations.</li> <li>There is nowhere to park at the northern point of CCP24 and they are certainly not welcomed on the Knock House Drive or its entrance from the public road. For people wanting to walk to Califer or a circuit of Rafford there is parking at The Church end of CCP22. The spur of unmade up ground through Knock Wood</li> </ul>		
CCP25	Мар б	Church end of CCP22. The spur of unmade up ground through Knock Wood proposed by CCP24 is totally superfluous. Objector - Please take notice that the route shown on plan 3b for the new Core	Modification: Remove existing CCP25 and insert revised line for CCP25 as	None required
RAFFORD	map 0	Path CCP25 does not follow the route of the existing path which was constructed in 2016/17. (at the landowners considerable cost). And after negotiation and agreement with Ian Douglas, the Moray Outdoor Access Officer). The current path as constructed joins CCP21 on its western end further south and east of the route shown on your map. I trust this is a drawing error and look forward to your confirmation of the same. As correctly drawn the path would cross the new fence and enter the garden of Blervie House.	<i>per map agreed with objector.</i> Reason: to ensure the route is accurately plotted within the plan to accord with what is on the ground.	
CCP29 MILTONDUFF	Map 7	<b>Objector</b> - I run a livery business at my property, Muiryhall, and my daughter and I own our own horses; she is a keen eventer. We use our woods for riding and training, and have a number of cross-country fences forming a course around our property, including several on the path you indicate. Local people who walk in our woods know that they will encounter horses, often moving at speed, and take appropriate precautions which include keeping their dogs under strict control. I	<i>Modification: Delete northern arm of CCP29.</i> Reason: Public health and safety concerns due to intensive use by the owners equestrian business and that a section of the proposed path is currently non-existent on the ground.	<u>Heldon Community Council</u> Response: supports modification to remove the norther arm of CCP29 from the plan.

CCP45 ELGIN CCP50 FOCHABERS	Map 8 Map 9	<ul> <li>have a badly arthritic hip which affects my riding significantly so I rarely venture beyond my own property; locals know my problem and that I must avoid falling off e.g. if my horse were spooked by a loose dog.My nervous livery ladies also appreciate the safety of our own woods for hacking. Your proposed Core Path would compromise our safety because it would encourage other, less informed and helpful people, to walk in our woods. It would also compromise the security of my property; since my husband's death it is only my teenage daughter and myself here and, while I am installing CCTV at the front of the property to improve our security, I cannot cover the area at the back which is clearly visible from the woodland path. Moreover, I am concerned about possible damage to my property; I have bought and installed expensive cross-country jumps which have sustained occasional wilful damage by persons unknown walking in my woods. Encouraging more people to use my woods will obviously increase the chances of further damage. You should consider the possibility of a walker sustaining an accident or injury as a result of frightening or surprising a horse. Few people seem aware that horses are flight animals and that even a sensible horse with a competent rider can react quickly to, for example, a dog in the undergrowth or a person emerging onto a path. Half a ton of horse can cause significant injury. Please re-consider your proposal.</li> <li>Comment - Extend CCP45 to join with EG45. Provision of the route is a planning requirement of Springfield developments related to Elgin South and will be provided within 2 years of projected Core paths Plan adoption date.</li> <li>Objector - We would like to formally object to the proposed CCP50 Core Path between Gordon Chapel and west of Duncan Avenue for the following reasons; - we have concerns regarding the insurance implications of anyone being injured while on our land.</li> <li>for the overall maintenance of the area we need it to remain mostly suff</li></ul>	Modification: Extend CCP45 along Linkwood Road to join with EG45.       Reason: To accord with the planning requirement that will connect CCP45 directly to EG45.         Modification: Remove the entirety of the proposed CCP50 Core Path designation from the Plan.       Reason: Landowner objection and absence of community support for the designation.	None required         Lennox Community Council         Response: Supports modification to         remove CCP50 Core Path proposal         from the Plan.
		while on our land.		

		located on the Gordon Castle Estate land.		
CCP57 KNOCK	Map 10	Objector - As owners of part of the proposed path we object on several counts. Part of the route passes through our garden directly in front of kitchen and bathroom windows affording us no privacy. Aside from this we have young children and pets and we find the presence of strangers in our garden unacceptable. We are also concerned that the paths are not sustainable for possible increased footfall and horse riders. At present we have the option to close off and/or reroute paths across the land if unsuitable or dangerous. Several paths pass close to open water and are liable to erosion, flooding, again causing a hazard. At present we can reduce access to limit this which we would not be allowed to do if it was a Core Path. As horse owners and riders ourselves we would have been delighted to have supported this proposal however as there is currently no funding attached to this proposal to improve the surface of the paths to allow horseriding/walking we do not want to have to accept additional use. At present paths are predominantly lawned and as such are very soft in wetter conditions. Unfortunately not all users are sympathetic and the paths are damaged quickly and easily.	Modification: Remove the entirety of the proposed CCP57 Core path designation from the Plan. Reason: Privacy issues relating to proximity of part of the path to a house; prematurity of designating the route until upgrade is carried out to accommodate horse use and to accord with the view of Strathisla Community Council.	Strathisla Community Council Response: Supports modification to remove CCP57 from the Plan.
CCP59 THE BALLOCH	Map 11	<b>Comment</b> - FES require a short section of this deleted. Whilst we welcome the inclusion of the "Herricks Trail" in the plan, the extension off the north side of the hill from the summit to the forest road is not suitable to invite the public to use. The path is in an unsafe condition. FES is in the process of removing this section from the promoted network.	Modification: Remove the northern arm of CCP59 from the proposed Core Path designation. Reason: Poor condition of path and that it will no longer form part of the complement of FES promoted paths.	None required
CCP67 ABERLOUR	No map	<b>Comment</b> - St Margaret's Church has no objection to the planned core path. However please note that on your draft review you have it listed as St Mary's Church. We should prefer the wording to say 'Parking available at St Margaret's Church' rather than 'Car park at St Margaret's Church'.	Modification: change text in Plan table on page 21 to, "Parking available at St Margaret's Church' to rectify text error. Reason: In the interests of accuracy.	None required
CCP68 ABERLOUR	Map 12	Objector - As the owner of the ground for the core path I do have a few objections. 1st: The existing path is already being heavily used by the locals of Aberlour as well as visitors to the area, without having to designate it a core path. 2nd: Too many dog walkers are being irresponsible when it comes to "keeping their dog under proper control" as well as dog fouling. I employ a ghillie to look after fishing clients and not to continually dispose of dog faeces, this could increase if it is designated a core path. 3rd: The Speyside Way runs adjacent to the area, the proposed new core path loops back on itself and doesn't connect to the Speyside Way at all. 4th: Speaking to some of the village council I get the feeling there is no great appetite for a core path let's leave it as it is after all no one is complaining at the way things stand at the moment.	<i>Modification: Remove CCP68 designation in entirety.</i> Reason: Rejection of the proposal by the landowner and lack of compelling evidence of community demand for a specific Core Path designation along what is an informal and in places indistinct path.	Aberlour Community Association Response: support Core Path designation for CCP68

		<ul> <li>Objector - I strongly object to the proposed new candidate core path CCP68 at Aberlour. The route you have taken is circular in what we call locally as the "planty". I object for the following reasons:-</li> <li>1. The route could be dangerous and misleading if you head by the river and miss the turn left into wood, the sloping banks at "Polshuan" are unstable, there's been 2 large land slips in the last few years making it dangerous to the public.</li> <li>2. The wood floods as the river rises above 3ft on the gauge at the burn mouth, it floods from the bed of the river up.</li> <li>3. As the path comes back to "Mcgowans Briggy" it crosses a broken fence and where you come out the bank is unstable and dangerous as the path originally went up the burnside which has crumbled into the burn hence why the fence was trampled down by the general public. There is also 2 king fishers nesting in the bank in which most people don't know about. Alongside there is otters as well under the bank this alone should be enough not to make it a core route.</li> <li>4. The route you are proposing was never originally a path as the path went up by the burn to the football pitch.</li> <li>5. In the wood itself you have a good number of ground nesting sand pipers when they fledge they fly at knee to waist height and become vulnerable to the public walking dogs off the lead.</li> <li>6. There is Badger sets in the wood as well. Deer, squirrels, Hedgehogs, and other wildlife all can survive here providing it's not overused by the public.</li> <li>7. We already have a groblem in the wood with fires, human waste and rubbish, mostly by campers and canoeists. we can all explore areas and live alongside each other but must respect the countryside code and land owners property. I personally try to keep the area free of rubbish.</li> <li>8. The bit I don't understand is why you have not taken the proposed route CP68 which has a sign on it for "The Speyside Way" from the Victoria Bridge along the route you have proposed and turn left</li></ul>		
BK11 BUCKIE	Map 13	<b>Comment</b> - We are considering new security fencing in the vicinity of core path BK11 which runs along the north edge of the school grounds. As part of this we would like the 'dog-leg' along the core path at Milton Drive to be straightened as part of the core paths plan review. I ask you that this be considered as part of the process.	Modification: Remove the Milton Drive 'dog leg' section of Core path BK11 from the plan and straighten the route along the edge of the Millbank Primary School grounds. Reason: To rationalise the route of the Core path and to accord with new fencing to implemented along the edge of the school grounds.	None required
DA01 FORRES	Map 14	Comment - The Dava Way Association (DWA) discussed the Draft Moray Core Paths Plan 2018 at our recent Board of Trustees Meeting and I was actioned with raising the points below: Dava Way - It was noted that the Dava Way is shown terminating where the railway trackbed intersects with Mannachie Avenue, but the route actually terminates by the Forres Tolbooth on the High Street. Therefore, some sections of the Dava Way between Mannachie Avenue and Forres Tolbooth are not covered by existing/proposed core paths. This has raised questions relating to the status of this section of the route and rights regarding the maintenance/replacement by the DWA of the associated existing waymarkers/fingerposts. Would it be feasible to add a core path/paths covering the route of the Dava Way	Modification: Extend the DA01 Core Path designation along roadside paths/shared areas of Mannachie Avenue, Mannachie Rise then path link to Loch View, Loch View, Sanquhar Road, Tolbooth Street up to Market Cross. To accommodate this re- label affected sections of FR22, FR32, FR35 and FR42. Reason: To give Core Path status and a consistent labelling to the whole of the promoted and signed Dava Way route within Moray in the interests of continuity.	None required

EG45 ELGIN	Map 15	<ul> <li>as detailed below: <ul> <li>From the intersection of the trackbed and Mannachie Avenue head east along Mannachie Avenue, then along the southern arc of Mannachie Rise to its eastern end.</li> <li>Head east along the footpath linking Mannachie Rise to Loch View, then head east then northeast along Loch View to its junction with Woodside Drive and Sanquhar Road.</li> <li>Head northwest along Sanquhar Road past Sanquhar Loch, then north along Sanquhar Road past Forres Academy and Applegrove Primary School.</li> <li>At the north end of Sanquhar Road, cross the roundabout and head northwest up Tolbooth Street.</li> <li>Just before reaching the north end of Tolbooth Street, take the passageway leading west beneath Forres Tolbooth and terminate at the Market Cross.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Objector - The route of the proposed extension to EG45 is unacceptable for the following reasons: <ol> <li>The proposed extension route as currently shown follows the line of the temporary path constructed without my consent on my land by Moray Council's contractors. They created this while they occupied my land to create the Tyock Burn diversion and other flood defence works. This route was Heras fenced from public use until an alternative sensible path route could be discussed.</li> <li>The proposed route snakes through the middle of private land which has been serviced by roads and utilities at my expense in preparation for development and effectively renders the site unsaleable and un-developable.</li> <li>An alternative potential route following the perimeter of the Tyock Burn diversion channel at the eastern and northern boundary of the site known as Plots 6/7 has already been discussed with Moray Council's Laine Penny</li> <li>Transportation] subject to agreeing mutually acceptable terms.</li> <li>The EG45 proposed extension did not form part of the current Core Paths Plan adopted on 28/06/11 for these already highlighted reasons.</li> <li>This list is not exhaustive and may be added to prior to the objection deadline. I</li></ol></li></ul>	Modification: Remove proposed extended section of Core path EG45 from the A96 roundabout along Linkwood Place East linking with the River Lossie Cycle path (CCP36). Reason: it would be premature to designate this section of path as the exact position of this cycle path link has still to be agreed as a requirement of a suspensive planning condition. Once developed the new path can thereafter be designated as a Core path in the next Moray Core Paths Plan Review.	None required
KTO8 KEITH	Map 16	<b>Comment</b> - A slight improvement to Core Path KT08 from Westerton Road to Moss Street would be to use, instead of Bankers Lane East (also known as the Killin' Hoose Lane – one-way vehicular traffic and no pavement), the entrance road to Tesco which does have a pavement and leads directly to the pedestrian crossing at the traffic lights on Moss Street. Several amendments are required to the Blue Paths to bring the Keith map up to date.	Modification: Remove KT08 designation from Bankers Lane East and re- align along the Tesco access road pavement via the A96 crossing point. Reason: To account for new developments on the ground and in the interests of accommodating the safest route.	None required
Text changes	No map	<b>SNH Comment</b> - We are supportive of the draft review and have no specific comments on the proposed and amended path details. We consider that none of these would result is any adverse impacts to important natural heritage interests. The mitigation to be considered if there is work planned on path networks seems appropriate to minimise the risk and impact on natural heritage interests. We have the following minor observations; - Reference to Historic Environment	Modifications: Change the Plan as follows in the interests of providing up to date and accurate information, 1. Replace all 'Historic Scotland' textual references throughout the document to read 'Historic Environment Scotland'. 2. Insert correct spelling of 'additionally' on page 6 in para 4 of section 12-Resources and Implementation.	None required

		Scotland (HES) throughout the plan remains under 'Historic Scotland' needs updated. Page 6 - additionally' is incorrect spelled in Para: 'The Cairngorms Outdoor Access Trust (now the Outdoor Access Trust Scotland) successfully secured funding and subsequently upgraded sections of the Dava Way and Moray Coast Trail Core Paths. This approach could be replicated for other Core Paths. Additionally opportunities are presented by recent Wind Farm developments where funds have been set up for community developments which could include development and management of the Core Paths Network'	Reason: To rectify inaccuracies and to ensure the Plan adequately relates to other relevant Plans and Strategies.	
Text changes	No map	Moray Sports Development Officer Comment - Make a number of small scale text changes to the Plan	<ul> <li>Modifications:</li> <li>Add extra bullet to first half of section 3 on page one to read, "Active</li> <li>Scotland Outdoor Framework - Equality is the main thrust to get people</li> <li>more active, more often. Contains 6 outcomes including improved active</li> <li>infrastructure for people and places".</li> <li>Add extra bullet to second half of section 3 on page 2 to read, "Physical</li> <li>Activity, Sport and Health Strategy for Moray - Vision is that physical</li> <li>activity and sport are embedded in the lives of the Moray community</li> <li>(through both the natural and built environment). Walking, cycling and</li> <li>active travel are all elements within the strategy".</li> <li>Amend incorrect spelling of 'additionally' on Page 6, middle last</li> <li>paragraph.</li> <li>Remove the term 'or so' from Policy 7 on page 7.</li> <li>Change the word 'sport' on Appendix 1 on page 8 to read 'Sport and</li> <li>Physical Activity'.</li> <li>Reason: To rectify inaccuracies and to ensure the Plan adequately relates to other relevant Plans and Strategies.</li> </ul>	None required
Text changes	No map	SEPA Comment - Supportive of the Plan specifically the environmental objectives and full details contained in Section 11. Mitigating Impacts. Interested in ensuring that the construction of any new paths (or supporting facilities, e.g. car parks, toilets) or upgrades to existing ones do not result in pollution or unacceptable watercourse engineering works. We would also wish to ensure such proposals would not be impacted by flood risk or increase the risk of flooding elsewhere. There is a long history of flooding in Moray so would recommend that avoidance of flood risk is the first principle. SEPA flood maps can be used as screening tools, and we recommend you consult your own flood team. Any opportunities to improve existing infrastructure / drainage and therefore improve water quality, biodiversity and amenity should be actively investigated and pursued subject to the following being considered as part the PATHS MANAGEMENT PLAN required under	<ul> <li>Modifications:</li> <li>Add bullet point to Policy 3 - Paths Management Plan on page 5 to read, "Practical measures to minimise impacts on watercourses from path Infrastructure as part of any Core Path development/improvements. To include 'retro fitting' on existing drainage systems, 'light touch' construction on floodplains fully assessed culvert design and protection of water quality.</li> <li>Add bullet point to Policy 3 - Paths Management Plan on page 5 to read, "Regulatory requirements applying to works which affect watercourses particularly in relation to new path developments, ongoing maintenance, importing materials and pollution prevention".</li> <li>Reason: To rectify inaccuracies and to ensure the Plan adequately relates to other relevant Plans and Strategies.</li> </ul>	None required



















copyright and database rights 2017 Ordnance Survey 100023422 The Moray Council

morray Council













