

## Adult Support & Protection: Moray Improvement Action Plan

11 10 19

## The Project Plan

## <u>Key</u>

ZS=Zandra Smith, IM=lain McGregor, AM=Alex Morrison RP=Robin Paterson, MC=Michelle Cumming, RH=Roddy Huggan,
TA=Tracey Abdy, SG=Suzy Gentle YW=Yvonne Wright, VL=Vicky Logan, OWG=The Operational Working Group, JM=Jane
Mackie, SC=Sean Coady, BS=Bridget Stone, CM=Charles McKerron, GM=Garry MacDonald, EM=Eilidh MacKetchnie, TW=Tracie
Wills, SG=Suzy Gentle ,YW=Yvonne Wright, BW=Bruce Woodward, CP=Consultant Practitioners, ASPC=Adult Support &
Protection Committee, ASPSG=Improvement Action Plan Adult Support & Protection Committee Sub Group

Task	Risk Status	% Progress	Activity Name	Depen dency	Who	Start	Finish	Comment
1.0 V	Vorkstre	eam: Polic	y, Process & Procedure	Lead: Za	andra S	mith		From: July to January 2020
1.1.			lop a 'Vision for Moray Policy' that highligh d health care perspective) and <i>protecting l</i>					but also support, positive risk taking
1.1. 1	G	100%	<b>Task:</b> Based on the self-evaluation insights develop a draft Moray Vision Policy.	N/A	ZS	July	July	Preparation work for the workshop to be held on 9 September. Task complete.
1.1. 2	G	100%	<b>Task:</b> Host a multi-agency workshop to further develop proposed draft of the Moray Vision Policy	1.1.1	ZS	Aug	Sept	Multi-Agency Workshop held on 9 Sept. Following the self-evaluation exercise, 3 option developed for further consideration.
1.1. 3	G	100%	<b>Task:</b> Circulated amended draft for further comment by the participants who attended the workshop	1.1.2	ZS	Sept	Sept	Draft Statements presented to the ASP Committee on 20 9 19. Consensus reached on draft statement
1.1. 4		tbc	<b>Task</b> : The Operational Working Group agree to circulate the draft Vision	1.1.3	OW G	<del>Sept</del> Oct	<del>Sept</del> Oct	Agreed that statement will be circulated for wider circulation once underpinning principles are

			document for wider consultation					added. See task below
1.1. 5	G	100%	<b>Task:</b> The Operational Working Group agree amendments to Vision Statement	1.1.4	OW G/A SPS G	Oct	Oct	Vision statement and underpinning principles agreed by the Operational Working Group Meeting on 7 10 19. Previously noted that at the Operational Working Group meeting held on 25 September, agreed that under principles will be added by BS. To be presented for further considerate at the October Operational Working Group Meeting.
1.1. 6	Not due		Task: Vision for Moray PolicyStatement endorsed by The MorayAdult Support & Protection Committee	1.1.5	JM/Z S	Oct	Nov	Not due. Need to confirm the date for this meeting and that this is an agenda item (along with Project Plan Up-date, Risk Log, Proposal for Engaging with Lived Experience and revised ASP remit-see below 1.2.1)
1.2.	Object	ive: In the	e context of developing a vision for Moray,			and memb	ership of the	Adult Support Protection Committee.
1.2. 1	Α	0%	<b>Task:</b> Based on the insights from the self-evaluation exercise and other Partnership Committees, develop a revised draft remit for Moray ASP Committee	N/A	SC/Z S	Sept	Sept	At the Operational Working Group Meeting it was agreed that this item will be submitted for initial consideration by the ASP Committee in October. JM will also discuss with the ASP Committee Chair.
1.2. 2	A		<b>Task:</b> Revised remit considered by the Operational Working Group	1.2.1	OW G	Oct	Oct	At the October Operational Working Group Meeting, discuss if a proposal should be developed prior to approaching ASP Committee.
1.2. 3			<b>Task:</b> The Adult Support Committee consider and agree the revised remit	1.2.2	JM	Oct	Oct	Subject to the above, timeline to be revised.
1.2. 4			<b>Task:</b> Along with the agreed Moray Vision Policy, the agreed remit of the Committee is circulated to partner agencies	1.2.3	IG/M C	Nov	Nov	
1.3			w the Core ASP Process (flow chart) with hole ASP process including monitoring an			ing that it a	dequately re	flects multi-agency input (including SAS)
1.3. 1	G	100%	<b>Task:</b> Host multi-agency Workshop to consider 'As Is' and 'To be' Core Process (take account of self-evaluation insights)	N/A	tbc	Sept	Sept	Workshop held on 18 9 19. Good representation from partner organisation. Initial feedback indicates constructive and helpful comments received.
1.3. 2	Α	0%	Task: Circulate revised 'To be' CoreProcess for further comment by	1.3.1	IG	Sept	Sept	Following the above workshop, work ongoing to refine process. Development of core process will

			workshop participants					continue on 1 Nov (Part 2 of this workshop).
1.3.	Not		Task: Amended 'To be' Core Process	1.3.2	Tbc	Oct	Oct	As per the discussion held at the Operational
3	due		is considered for wider circulation by the Operational Working Group			Dec	Dec	Working Group, it was agreed that timescale for this task should be revised.
1.3. 4	Not Due		Task: Following consultation, 'To be'Core Process is agreed by theOperational Working Group and theImprovement Action Plan Adult Support& Protection Group	1.3.3	OW G/A SPS G	<del>Oct</del> Dec	<del>Nov</del> Jan	As per the discussion held at the Operational Working Group, it was agreed that timescale for this task should be revised.
1.3. 5	Not Due		<b>Task:</b> Final Core Process circulated tomulti-agency partners for information	1.3.4	IG/M C	<del>Nov</del> Feb	<del>Nov</del> Feb	As per the discussion held at the Operational Working Group, it was agreed that timescale for this task should be revised.
1.4	Object	t <b>ive:</b> Revie	w the Out of Hours (OOH's) process to en	sure that	it is alig	gned with t	he Moray Po	
	output	has now b	peen incorporated as part of the developme	ent of an	arching	ASP proce	ess)	-
1.4. 1	Α	0%	Task: Draft 'As Is' Core Process(including OOH's) In preparation of nextworkshop (This is now the CoreProcess Part 2 Workshop)	N/A	Tbc	Sept	Oct	Michelle Stephen has agreed to be the scribe for capturing the core process.
1.4. 2	Not due		<b>Task:</b> Host workshop with OOH's SW's with the aim of generating a 'To be' OOH's Process in line with Core Process (This is now the Core Process Part 2 Workshop)	1.4.1	tbc	Sept	<del>Sept</del> Nov	The date for this workshop has now been revised to 1 November. Proposed that OOH process mapping will be incorporated into the work to determine the core ASP process.
1.4. 3			<b>Task:</b> Circulated draft 'To be' OOH's/Core Process for further comment	1.4.2	IG/M C	Nov	<del>Nov</del> Dec	As per the discussion held at the Operational Working Group, it was agreed that timescale for this task should be revised.
1.4. 4			<b>Task:</b> The Operational Working Group endorse the To be OOH's /Core Process	1.4.3	OW G	<del>Nov</del> Jan	<del>Nov</del> Jan	As per the discussion held at the Operational Working Group, it was agreed that timescale for this task should be revised.
1.4. 5			<b>Task:</b> Final OOH's process circulated to colleagues.	1.4.4	MC/I G	<del>Nov</del> Jan	<del>Nov</del> Feb	As per the discussion held at the Operational Working Group, it was agreed that timescale for this task should be revised.
1.5	the 3 p	oint test.	pporting a Moray Policy, develop a written		-			
1.5. 1	G	30%	Task: Informed by the Moray VisionPolicy Statement, develop a draft	1.1.6	GM	Oct	Oct	Underpinning principles and vision statement sent to GM on 8 10 19.

		written procedure for discussion					GM attended the Core ASP process on 18 September. Now progressing on initial draft procedure based on information gathered.
1.5. 2	Not due	<b>Task:</b> Host a multi-agency Workshop to consider draft procedure	1.5.1	GM	Nov	Nov Feb	As per the discussion held at the Operational Working Group, it was agreed that timescale for this event should be revised. Note also that core process finalisation (task 1.3.5) has been revised in-line with this timescale.
1.5. 3		Task: Circulate draft procedure to workshop participants for further comment	1.5.2	GM/ MS	Nov Feb	Nov Feb	As per the discussion held at the Operational Working Group, it was agreed that timescale for this task should be revised.
1.5. 4		<b>Task:</b> The Operational Working Group agree that the draft procedure can be circulated for further comment	1.5.3	OW G	Nov Feb	<del>Dec</del> Feb	As per the discussion held at the Operational Working Group, it was agreed that timescale for this task should be revised.
1.5. 6		Task: The Operational Working Groupand the Adult Support & ProtectionCommittee Sub Group endorse theprocedure	1.5.4	OW G/A SPS G	<del>Dec</del> Mar	<del>Dec</del> Mar	As per the discussion held at the Operational Working Group, it was agreed that timescale for this task should be revised.
1.6	<b>Objective:</b>	Based on this procedure (1.5), develop a manua	al that ca	in be sh	are betwe	en all partn	ners
1.6. 1	Not due	Task: Informed by the Moray PolicyVision Statement, Core Process andProcedure develop an easy readmanual for all partner agencycolleagues	1.1.6 1.3.4 1.4.5 1.5.6	EM	<del>Dec</del> Mar	Dec Mar	As per the discussion held at the Operational Working Group, it was agreed that timescale for this task should be revised.
1.6. 2		Task: The Operational Working Group and Adult Support & Protection Committee Sub Group agrees that the draft manual can be circulated for comment	1.6.1	OW G/A SPS G	<del>Jan</del> Mar	<del>Jan</del> Mar	As per the discussion held at the Operational Working Group, it was agreed that timescale for this task should be revised.
1.6. 3		Task: The Operational Working Group endorse the final version of the manual	1.6.2	EM	<del>Jan</del> Mar	<del>Jan</del> Mar	As per the discussion held at the Operational Working Group, it was agreed that timescale for this task should be revised.
1.6. 4		Task: The manual is circulated to all partner agencies for information	1.6.3	IG/M C	<del>Jan</del> Apr	<del>Jan</del> Apr	As per the discussion held at the Operational Working Group, it was agreed that timescale for this task should be revised.
1.7	Ohiective	Following the review of the core process, all forr	ns are re	viewed	to ensure	e that they s	support information sharing between

		nd are consistent with the revised Moray policy a			_		
1.7. 1	Not due	<b>Task:</b> A list of all ASP related forms in scope is collated	N/A	IG	<del>Oct</del> Dec	<del>Oct</del> Dec	As per the discussion held at the Operational Working Group, it was agreed that timescale fo this task should be revised.
1.7. 2		<b>Task:</b> Draft amendments made to forms in line with revised ASP procedures	1.7.1 1.5.6	AM/ ZS	<del>Nov</del> Jan	Nov Jan	As per the discussion held at the Operational Working Group, it was agreed that timescale fo this task should be revised.
1.7. 3		Task: Workshop hosted to considerproposed amendments to forms	1.7.2	AM/ ZS	<del>Dec</del> Jan	<del>Dec</del> Jan	As per the discussion held at the Operational Working Group, it was agreed that timescale fo this task should be revised.
1.7. 4		<b>Task:</b> The Operational Working Group agrees that amended forms are circulated to appropriate colleagues for comment	1.7.3	OW G	<del>Dec</del> Jan	<del>Jan</del> Feb	As per the discussion held at the Operational Working Group, it was agreed that timescale fo this task should be revised.
1.7. 5		Task: The Operational Working Groupagrees revised forms	1.7.4	OW G	<del>Jan</del> Feb	<del>Jan</del> Feb	As per the discussion held at the Operational Working Group, it was agreed that timescale fo this task should be revised.
1.7. 6		<b>Task:</b> Revised forms are circulated to relevant colleagues	1.7.5	IG	<del>Jan</del> Feb	<del>Jan</del> Feb	As per the discussion held at the Operational Working Group, it was agreed that timescale fo this task should be revised.
1.7. 7		<b>Task:</b> Snagging Log form developed and circulated	N/A	IG/R P	<del>Jan</del> Feb	<del>Jan</del> Feb	As per the discussion held at the Operational Working Group, it was agreed that timescale fo this task should be revised.
1.7. 8		<b>Task:</b> The Operational Working Group Review Snagging Log. Any necessary changes to be made to forms (3 months after	1.7.7	IG/O WG	Tbc	tbc	As per the discussion held at the Operational Working Group, it was agreed that timescale fo this task should be revised.
1.8		: The H&SCM Commissioning Team will review to people involved in the adult support and protect					
1.8. 1		Task: Commissioning colleagues       confirm proposed tender specification in       relation to ASP support	N/A	PK	Oct	Dec	RP to contact Pauline regarding this task.
1.8. 2		<b>Task</b> The Operational Working Group endorse proposed specifications	1.8.1	OW G	Dec	Dec	
1.9		: Develop revised guidance for the completion of ith multi-agency input.	risk asse	essment	s. The gu	idance will r	note that risk assessment require to be
1.9.	Not	Task: Draft guidance developed	N/A	BS	Dec	Dec	

1	due		informed by Case File Audits and					
			insights from the self-evaluation					
			workshops					
1.9.			Task: Multi-agency workshop hosted to	1.9.1	BS	Jan	Jan	
2			consider revised guidance for risk					
			assessments					
1.9.			Task: Following workshop, participants	1.9.2	BS	Jan	Jan	
3			provide further comment on the draft					
			guidance					
1.9.			Task: The Operational Working Group	1.9.3	BS	Jan	Jan	
4			endorse the revised risk guidance					
1.9.			Task: Revised guidance circulated to	1.9.4	IG	Jan	Jan	
5			agency partners					
						tle & Yvon		From: November to Ferbruary
2.1	Object	ive: Unde	rtake a training audit that identifies the gap	os in ASF	rtrainin	g for all pa	rtners (includ	ing providers).
2.1.			Task: Present a proposal to the OWG	N/A	SG/	Nov	Nov	
1			concerning the scope (e.g. range of		YW			
			partners) and implementation of the					
			Audit					
2.1.			Task: Implement Audit	2.1.1	SG/	Nov	Dec	
2					YW			
2.1.			Task: Present findings of the Audit to	2.1.2	OW	Jan	Jan	
3			the OWG and to the Improvement		G/A			
			Action Plan ASP Committee for		SG			
			endorsement					
2.2			d on the findings of this audit, develop a re		SP Trair	ing and De	evelopment F	Programme for 2020 & central register for
		g (see obje	ctives table for content of the programme)		n	1	1	
2.2.	Not		Task: Draft ASP Training and	2.1.3	SG/	Jan	Feb	
1	due		Development Plan presented to the		YW			
			Operational Working Group					
			Improvement Action Plan ASP					
			Committee for endorsement and to					
			prior to consultation					
2.2.			Task: Draft ASP Training and	2.2.1	IG	Feb	Feb	
2			Development Plan submitted to partner					

			agencies for consultation					
2.2. 3			<b>Task:</b> Following consultation amendments, ASP Training and Development Plan is endorsed by the Operational Working Group and the Improvement Action Plan ASP Committee for endorsement	2.2.2	OW G/A SSG	Feb	Feb	
2.2. 4			<b>Task:</b> ASP Training & Development Plan is implemented	2.2.3	SG/ YW	Feb	Ongoing	
2.3			CSWO will provide briefings to existing and Protection Committee	l new me		on induct	ion) in relatior	to their roles and responsibilities on the
2.3. 1	Not due		Task: Develop a schedule of briefings	N/A	IG	Jan	Jan	
2.2. 2			<b>Task:</b> The Operational Working Group and the ASP Committee endorse and the agree schedule	2.3.1	OW G/A SPS G	Jan	Feb	
2.3. 3			Task: Implement the schedule of briefings	2.3.2	JM	Feb	Ongoing	
	Vorkstre	eam: Audi		ead: Bric	lget Sto	ne		From: July to October
3.1			e a rationale for undertaking case file audit	s which a	are conc	lucted on	a multi-agend	
J. I	2.01000	<u></u>	9					
3.1. 1	G	100%	<b>Task:</b> Review and agree audit template (informed by self-evaluation)	N/A	BS	July	July	Complete. Based on the audit tool used to inform the ASP Improvement Plan.
			Task: Review and agree audit template	N/A 3.1.1	BS	Aug	Aug	
3.1. 1 3.1.	G	100%	Task: Review and agree audit template (informed by self-evaluation)Task: Develop a 12 month proposal for undertaking case file audits. This should include the rationale for selection across all service areas, including Police files and the					the ASP Improvement Plan. Confirm status at the Operational Working Group

3.2	Object	tive: Imple	ement the case file audit schedule that	includes	s ensur	ing that A	SP related i	ssues are consistently captured on
	Suppo	ort Plans a	and Reviews, undertaking a multi-agen	cy learn	ing rev	iew of all	banning ord	ers & LSI's when completed and
	DATIX	<pre>&lt; recorded</pre>	d ASP issues follow the agreed proced	ure and	are ref	erred to t	he Access T	eam.
3.2.	Not		Task: Case File Audit Finding	3.1.1	BS	Oct	Ongoing	
1	due		Summary Reports Provided to the					
			Operational Working Group, P.Gov and					
			Clinical Gov Board on a quarterly basis.					
3.3	Object	tive: The r	esults of audit are shared with the Adult Su	upport &	Protect	ion Comm	ittee	
3.3.	Not		Task: Quarterly Case File Audit	3.2.1	BS	Oct	Ongoing	
1	due		Reports submitted to ASP Committee.					
			Reports should note improvement					
			actions subsequently implemented					
3.4	Object	tive: Agree	and implement a systematic approach to	capturin	g the liv	ed experie	ence (qualitat	ive) of people who have been in contact
	with th	e ASP pro	Cess	-	-	-		
3.4.	G	70%	Task: Develop a proposal for capturing	N/A	BS	Sept	Sept	Options appraisal undertaken. At the Operational
1			the lived experience of people who					Working Group Meeting on 25 September, it was agreed that the preferred option would be further
			have been in contact with the ASP					developed. This will submitted for approval at the
			process					October meeting of the Operational Working
								Group and will then be submitted for information
					0.14			to the ASP Committee.
3.4.		Not due	Task: The Operational Working Group	3.4.1	OW	Oct	Oct	
2			and Action Plan Adult Support		G/			
			Protection Committee Sub Group		ASP			
			endorse the proposal		SG			
3.4.	Not		Task: Proposal implemented and	3.4.2	BS	Oct	Ongoing	
3	due		quarterly reports provided to the					
			Operational Working Group and Adult					
			Protection Committee (standing agenda					
			item)					
4.0 V				Lead: Tr				From : November to December
4.1			pport the development of a revised ASP c				a suite of tin	ne based service standards which include
		e from rec	eiving the initial referral to the application of					T
4.1.	Not		Task: Based on the revised ASP core	1.3.5	BW	Nov	Nov	Proposed that the Carefirst will be able to
2	due		process and procedure, develop a	1.4.5				facilitate this work. RP to contact RH.
			performance management proposal	1.5.6				

			outlining the service standards for each					
			element of the proposal					
4.1.			Task: The Operational Working Group	4.1.2	OW	Dec	Dec	
3			endorses proposal		G			
4.1.			Task: Service Standards are circulated	4.1.3	BW/	Dec	Dec	
4			through the ASP Manual		EM			
4.2			art of the performance management arrang					
		cludes ser	vice standards, output measures but also i			nal outcor		o both health & social care support.
4.2.	Not		Task: Submit ASP performance	4.1.3	BW	Dec	Dec	
1	due		management reports to the OWG and					
			Adult Protection Committee on a					
			quarterly basis					
			ice Redesign & Review			ady & Jan		From: July to October
5.1			nsure that the initial referrals are processed					
	adequa		rced to complete the high volume of scree		<u>he initia</u>	I ASP refe		
5.1.	Α	0%	Task: Collate baseline data in relation	N/A	AM/	July	Sept	Agreed at the Operational Working Group on 25
1			to the volume of referrals received by		BW			9 19, that AM will collate 3 years of trend data in relation to ASP referrals to the Access Team.
			Access Team					
5.1.	Not		Task: Host workshop to review data	5.1.1	SC/J	Sept	Sept	Workshop now to be rescheduled for between 1
2	due		and identify options for Access		Μ	Oct	Oct	Nov to 20 Nov.
5.1.	Not		Task: Based on the outcome workshop	5.1.2	OW	Oct	Oct	
3	due		submit an SBAR report to the		G			
			Operational Working Group for					
			consideration and endorsement					
5.2			w the impact of ASP work on the OOH's S	1	1	ocial Worl		
5.2.	Α	0%	Task: Collate baseline data in relation	N/A	AM/	July	Sept	Data to be collated. AW to assist
1			to the volume of referrals received by		BW			
			the OOH's Team					
5.2.			Task: Host workshop to review data	5.2.1	SC/J	Oct	Oct	
2			and identify options for OOH's		Μ			
5.2.			Task: Based on the outcome workshop	5.2.2	OW	Sept	Oct	
3			submit an SBAR report to the		G			
			Operational Working Group for					
			consideration and endorsement					
6.0 V	Vorkstre	eam: ICT 8	& Recording	Lead: Ro	oddy Hu	uggan		From: August to October

6.1	referra	als are co	e better use of carefirst and ICT to supp ded on carefirst, vulnerable people are	categor	ised to	assist cor	nmunity hu	
6.1. 1			<b>Task:</b> In light of the insights gained from the self-evaluation, prepare a brief report on the viability of undertaking the identified improvement actions in relation to carefirst	N/A	VL	August	Sept	Proposed that timescale should be revised in light of new appointment to Carefirst Managers post.
6.1. 2			<b>Task:</b> Following the submission of this report, the Operational Working Group will agree the actions to be undertaken along with a timescale.	6.1.1	OW G	Sept	Oct	
7.1	Objec	tive: To p	rovide ongoing mentoring and support			tridge, Alar		From: August to October 2019
		•	revide engening memoring and export					denaking ASP activity.
7.1. 1	G	. 100%	<b>Task:</b> To host a Social Worker/Council Officer workshop with the primary focus of reporting back on the findings of the Council Officer Survey and Access Procedures.	N/A	BS/Z S	August	August	Complete. Workshop held on 2 September
7.1. 1 7.1. 2			<b>Task:</b> To host a Social Worker/Council Officer workshop with the primary focus of reporting back on the findings of the Council Officer Survey and Access		BS/Z	1	I	