

Reference	Name	Summary of Response	MLOAF Position	Response and Proposed Plan Modification
LM01	Althea Forbes	Following a mapping error and the blocking of the path I am writing in support of the proposed core path designation to the north side of the boundary fence erected by Mr and Mrs Rose at Roseisle on path LMO1. Coming from a family of horse riders and walkers I consider it to be very important to maintain both the horse path and the core path which runs alongside the Rose's property, for the safety of both walkers and riders. Apart from the fact that the nearby horse path gets very churned up during the wetter months making it difficult to navigate on foot, from time to time, the fact that the route winds through the trees makes it difficult for both walkers and riders to see what is coming. The blockage of the original core path has presented an access problem for me on a route I have walked for about twenty years and I would like to see the amended path designated as a core path so I can once again access Roseisle Forrest unhindered.	Supports proposed amendment for LM01	No Modification
LM01	Carol Austin	As a regular visitor to College of Roseisle who has walked both the original Core Path and the new path which has developed alongside it, I strongly support the amendment to make footpath LM-01 the new Core Path. Yours faithfully	Supports proposed amendment for LM01	No Modification
LM01	David Hetherington	I write to offer my wholehearted support to the amended Core Path LM-01 as shown on Map 4. This proposed new route is the best alternative to the original footpath which was blocked some time ago. It is level underfoot with open views and provides a much more pleasant and safe walk than any of the alternatives which are either quagmires or dark and murky routes which have to be shared with the huge number of horses prevalent in this part of Moray.	Supports proposed amendment for LM01	No Modification
LM01	David Ingleby	Thank you for this opportunity to support the proposals regarding access to Roseisle Woods from Roseisle village. The proposals reinstate safe access for pedestrians and allow once more for the segregation of horses and travellers on foot. This point of access to the woods is well used by local people and visitors alike: this high level of use has continued despite the various obstacles that have been put in the way of users. The proposals will once again promote this valuable community amenity. The route suggested follows very closely the original pedestrian pathway - so much so that the existing Forestry Commission maps for public use will not even need updating. It has just been a pity that these very sensible and well thought out proposals have taken so long to reach this stage. I am writing this as a local resident who regularly uses the Roseisle Woods for recreational purposes and who enjoys its stunning natural beauty.	Supports proposed amendment for LM01	No Modification
LM01	Derek/Fiona House	We note that in the above document there is a proposal to re-route path LM - 01. As regular visitors to friends at Roseisle we support this amendment which will ensure that the path which we have used remains available to the public. Derek & Fiona House	Supports proposed amendment for LM01	No Modification
LM01	Dora Aldridge	With regards to Core Path LM-01 on Map 4 of Roseisle and Burghead. I would like to voice my support for the amended core path. This is an important Core Path link into the woods which gives access to all the other paths within the woods and eventually leads to the beach! My family live in Roseisle and when I regularly visit, my route through the forest utilises Core Path LM-01. I'd very much like to continue using this route.	Supports proposed amendment for LM01	No Modification
LM01	FES	FES welcome the correction made to the Core path at College of Roseisle which had caused confusion in the past.	Supports proposed amendment for LM01	No Modification
LM01	George Mackenzie	I feel that there is no need for this particular path as there is many others in the same area.	Supports proposed amendment for LM01	No Modification
LM01	Gerrit Hetherington	On my frequent visits to College of Roseisle to see my parents I regularly walked the original Core Path until it was blocked by the adjoining property owners. From then I have regularly walked the new route (despite the verbal abuse!) that has developed parallel to the above path and I therefore fully support the proposal to make that informal route the new Core Path. It is the only logical route in this locality as it provides a level walk with pleasant open views. The alternative is to walk along the Bridleway which in places is a quagmire, not only that it can be dangerous as the horse-riders seem to think they can canter and gallop along that path oblivious to the possibility that walkers with or without dogs are also walking there.	Supports proposed amendment for LM01	No Modification
LM01	Gwynne Hetherington	I am a resident who had daily walked the Core Path in question since we moved here in 2012 until that path was blocked, at which time I started walking the informal route which is proposed to become the new amended Core Path route LM-01. I therefore write to support the new route which is the only logical alternative route following as it does, as near as possible, the line of the original path.	Supports proposed amendment for LM01	No Modification
LM01	Hilary Anderson	A safe route to walkers from Roseisle to Burghead without having to suffer abuse from residents.	Supports proposed amendment for LM01	No Modification

Reference	Name	Summary of Response	MLOAF Position	Response and Proposed Plan Modification
LM01	Isobel Esson	The Elgin 50plus walking group uses this path 2 to 3 times per year and it is very important that this be kept open; rather than share a bridle path. The group usually consists of 20-30 members walking (all over 60 years of age and some over 80) so sharing a bridle path could be very challenging.	Supports proposed amendment for LM01	No Modification
LM01	John Miller	I STRONGLY SUPPORT THE AMENDMENT	Supports proposed amendment for LM01	No Modification
LM01	Kate Clark	I support this amendment. The alternative "Promoted route" is a bridle path and impassable due to mud from horse use.	Supports proposed amendment for LM01	No Modification
LM01	Lieselotte Franke	We would like to support the amended path LM-01 as we like to walk in the area and this is a convenient route to eventually reach Roseisle Beach.	Supports proposed amendment for LM01	No Modification
LM01	Mary Purser	I am writing in support of the proposed amendment to core path	Supports proposed amendment for LM01	No Modification
LM01	Moir Clark	This is a long-standing and well-used path and I support the amendment.	Supports proposed amendment for LM01	No Modification
LM01	Norman Kebell	<p>I write to fully support the establishment of this core path.</p> <p>This path replaces a path I and the local community have used for over twenty years and is the point of access for the Roseisle/Buthill and district community to the forestry walks in the area including walking access to the Roseisle/Burghead beaches. Environmentally this negates the use of vehicle use for the community to access the forestry walks.</p> <p>The only other access is a path created some twenty years ago in order to separate horse and pony users access from walker access as the core path was not suitable for both.</p> <p>Due to constant use of this alternative path by horses and ponies the path is not suitable for walkers. The reasons being; the path gets very cut up becoming muddy, boggy and slippery for walkers. This makes it particularly unsuitable for the elderly or those somewhat unsteady or not confident on their feet, due to the twisty nature of this path horses and ponies can come across walkers quite suddenly which can lead to the possibility of an accident. Unlike the main straight paths walkers do not have the opportunity to put dogs on leads or for the riders to take evasive action again leading to a risk of an accident.(there has already been a horse fatality and human near miss due to an out of control dog in the area) It is vital therefore that an accessible path is created to replace the blocked core path and both paths are clearly signposted.</p> <p>I am aware that the occupants of the dwelling adjoining the path have concerns regarding their horses. I have walked the blocked path and the temporary path many times and have never observed the horses demonstrate anything bar a mild interest. I have horses and know that they very quickly become accustomed to walkers in the vicinity. I note that the occupiers have planted fast growing conifers along the path which will quickly provide a further screening to walkers.</p>	Supports proposed amendment for LM01	No Modification
LM01	Pamela Dale	"Route LMO1 - I am now very happy with the amended core path on the north side of the new boundary fence alongside the Roses property. This is as close to the original route through the forest I have used for the past 12 years and is far safer than using the bridle path which is used on a regular basis by many more horse riders than ever before.	Supports proposed amendment for LM01	No Modification
LM01	Pamela Ingleby	I fully support the proposed amended core path. The many pedestrians who walk from Roseisle Village into Roseisle Forest need safe access without having to either walk along the main road which does not have a pavement or use the bridle path where they risk encountering galloping horses. The proposed route runs parallel to the old core path which has been barricaded off for some time. Local residents have been using this as the most sensible way to enter and leave the woods. As it is a relatively straight route with very little natural obstacles in the way it should be an easy path to create at minimum cost. I hope the proposed new core path is approved and created as soon as possible.	Supports proposed amendment for LM01	No Modification
LM01	Paul & Susan Hammond	We strongly support the proposed amendment LM01 on map 4 (Burghead) in the Core Path Plan Review. A lovely circular walk has been spoiled by one selfish local household. In Scotland there is the right to ramble I wonder why these selfish souls think but not past my house! We have lived here in Roseisle for almost 11 years and until the footpath was blocked off I used it regularly when walking my dogs. To be told to walk actually through the wood is rather rude when these householders knew the path had been in use by many people for a very long number of years.	Supports proposed amendment for LM01	No Modification
LM01	Peter John Dale	Route LM01- I would prefer the original path replaced ideally, however next to the original would be ideal. I use the core path to stop me having to use main roads and bridle paths whilst on my bike. The Roses constant intimidating behaviour and their total disregard for the law is beyond reproach. I am totally dismayed the authorities have allowed this situation to get to where it is now. Please reinstate this core path as soon as possible. Many thanks for this opportunity.	Supports proposed amendment for LM01	No Modification

Reference	Name	Summary of Response	MLOAF Position	Response and Proposed Plan Modification
LM01	Robert Clough	Myself and my wife are frequent visitors to the area and we used to walk the old core path on many occasions until it was blocked. Since then we have walked this new route and therefore we support this amendment.	Supports proposed amendment for LM01	<i>No Modification</i>
LM01	Susan Bedford	Having been unable to use the woods near my house for months due to the ongoing dispute regarding the core path I really welcome a solution to this problem that lets members of the community use the woods in a safe and hassle free manner.	Supports proposed amendment for LM01	<i>No Modification</i>
LM01	Vaila Boswell	I am a very frequent visitor to Roseisle and I wish to register my support for the amendment LM-01 on Map 4 on the Review documents. I have walked both the original Core Path and the new path that has arisen next to it and in my opinion the proposed new Core Path is the best option. It will provide a good, clean path suitable for walkers to gain access to the wider woodlands which are a great asset to that part of Moray.	Supports proposed amendment for LM01	<i>No Modification</i>
LM01	William Bower	I support the proposed amendment to the core path.	Supports proposed amendment for LM01	<i>No Modification</i>
LM01	William Forrest	I write in support of the new core path LM01. There is no core path for those living in the Buthill and surrounding area and this new path may prevent vehicle access and facilitate more walking in the countryside. There is an increasing need for paths in this area that allow paths for horse riding and those just for walkers. Dual use can be dangerous for riders and walkers. This may seem like a small issue in the scheme of things, but it would be an improvement.	Supports proposed amendment for LM01	<i>No Modification</i>