EFERENCE					Priority Local	Priority Communities &	Priority							e-mail not
MBER	Applicant Name	Ogranisation	Project Name	Priority Skills	-	Place	Employment Comment /action	Assessed	Score / outcome	Grant request	Match Funding	Recommended	Rejected - Comments	sent
01		Stonnivation	SEE Impact	•	•	•		C&PI, B, E & S Panels	Reject - did not pass gateway criteria	£200,000			The proposed activities duplicates the strategic aims, operating plans & activities of many public sector bodies in Moray such as Highlands and Islands Enterprise, Moray Council - Business Gateway, Skills Development Scotland and Moray College UHI. Community benefit not clearly articulated with funding request largely for Stonnivation salaries. Difficult to fully understand the project from the information presented.	
002	Oliver Giles		Glenlivet Rural Regeneration Programme			~		C& Pl panel	Recommended Scores for stratgic fit 22 & for delivery 23	£154,790		The proposal fits all the criteria's and the organisation has an excellent track record of delivering projects of this scale		•
003	Rhona Patterson		Keith Caravan Park Feasibility Study & Business Case			~		C& Pl panel	Reject - did not pass gateway criteria	£20,000	£0	IIIS SI AIP	The proposal is well below the invited minimum planned expenditure. The UK Community Ownership Fund potentially could be a more suitable funding source. Also may be able to seek support from TSI Moray for the feasibility study.	
R004	lain Findlay	Green Grow Club CIC	Green Grow Club - Sustainability & NetZero Community Learning Hub	*				C&PI, B, E & S Panels	Reject - did not pass gateway criteria	,	£17,500 HIE £46,000 in kind Aurora Sustainability Group Ltd		The project duplicates other national provision and potentially displaces the work carried out by Zero Waste Scotland in the area of circular economy, which is of increasing strategic importance to the public sector. There is no identified need for these activities or outputs nationally at the present time. The application states that activities will be delivered over 12 different local authority areas, with 40% of the activity being in Moray, but it is not reflected in the financial details or audience targeted. Investment in skills & supporting people into employability is implied & lacking justification. Costs all appear to be for existing staff. Confirmed match funding source is incorrect. Vague and confusing evaluation process.	
005	Fabio Villani	tsiMORAY	Just, Green, Thriving and Resilient Moray			~		C& Pl panel	Recommended Scores for strategic fit 23 & delivery 22	£423,000		The proposal fits all the criteria's and the organisation has an excellent track record of delivering projects of this scale		
R006	Andy Meynell	Dufftown and District Community Association	Tininver Park			~		C& Pl panel	Reject - did not pass gateway criteria	£280,000	£0		Capital project with the exception of £30K for Development Officer. Good project plan but wrong fund. May be able to seek support from TSI Moray around their path development feasibility study.	•
R007	Rev Andrew Kimmitt		Aberlour Glebe: Community Growth initial phase and Greenspace development feasibility study	•				S & E panel	Reject - did not pass gateway criteria	£26,471	£0		The proposal is well below the invited minimum planned expenditure. However the project is well thought through and documented with strategic links to local priorities. There may be future funding opportunities & / or potential support from partner organisations, which can be explored by contacting Moraypathways@moray.gov.uk	
R008	Mhairi-ann Gallicker	Truerlein Limited	Dufftown - A New Dawn	*	•	•		C&PI, B Panels	Reject - did not pass gateway criteria		£4,180,000 Angel & Development Capital		Overall the proposed activities are unlikely to be permissible within subsidy rules or under State Aid, due to using public funds to invest in businesses, which are then gifted to owner operators at a 49% equity stake. Little evidence of community support, alignment to local plans and organisations track record of ability to deliver. Conflicting information with inconsistencies in budget & outcome figures. Jobs outcomes seem to be unrealistically high within a short delivery timescale.	

Panel Members: Business - HIE, Chamber of Commerce, Federation of Small Businesses, staff from Council CSU, Strategic Planning & Development & Business Gateway. All panels supported by Economic Growth & Regeneration section