

# MORAY COUNCIL

## Minute of Meeting of the Moray Local Review Body

Thursday, 27 June 2019

Council Chambers, Council Office, High Street, Elgin, IV30 1BX

### **PRESENT**

Councillor George Alexander, Councillor David Bremner, Councillor Paula Coy, Councillor Donald Gatt, Councillor Derek Ross, Councillor Amy Taylor

### **APOLOGIES**

Councillor Ray McLean

### **IN ATTENDANCE**

The Senior Planning Officer (Development Planning and Facilitation) and Mrs Gordon, Planning Officer as Planning Advisers, Mr Hoath, Senior Solicitor as Legal Adviser and Mrs Rowan, Committee Services Officer as Clerk to the Moray Local Review Body.

#### **1 Chair**

Councillor Taylor, being Chair of the Moray Local Review Body, chaired the meeting.

#### **2 Declaration of Group Decisions and Members Interests**

In terms of Standing Order 20 and the Councillor's Code of Conduct, there were no declarations from Group Leaders or Spokespersons in regard to any prior decisions taken on how Members will vote on any item on the agenda or any declarations of Members interests in respect of any item on the agenda.

#### **3 Minute of Meeting dated 30 May 2019**

The Minute of the Meeting of the Moray Local Review Body dated 30 May 2019 was submitted and approved.

#### **4 LR223 - Ward 1 - Speyside Glenlivet**

##### **Planning Application 18/01495/PPP – Erect Single Dwelling House and Garage on site within grounds of Hillwood, Carron, Aberlour, Moray**

A request was submitted by the Applicant seeking a review of the decision of the Appointed Officer, in terms of the Scheme of Delegation, to refuse an application on the grounds that:

The proposal would be contrary to policies PP1, E7, H7 and IMP1 of the Moray Local

Development Plan 2015 and Supplementary Guidance 'Housing in the Countryside' (2015) and Guidance Note on Landscape and Visual Impacts of Cumulative Build-up of Housing in the Countryside (2017) for the following reasons:

The approval of a further house within this small grouping would not only overwhelm the adjacent traditional cottage (Ashgrove Cottage), but also erode the character of the countryside as the proposal would result in a high density form of development more akin to a suburban cul-de-sac than this countryside location, which is designated for its Great Landscape Value. In addition to this the Speyside Way runs in close proximity to the south of the site and as such the development would result in an erosion of the character of the countryside from this vantage point.

A Summary of Information Report set out the reasons for refusal, together with documents considered or prepared by the Appointed Officer in respect of the planning application, in addition to the Notice of Review, Grounds for Review and supporting documents submitted by the Applicant.

With regard to the unaccompanied site inspection carried out on 21 June 2019, the Chair stated that all present members of the Moray Local Review Body (MLRB) were shown the site where the proposed development would take place and had before them papers which set out both the reasons for refusal and the Applicant's grounds for review.

In response to a question from the Chair as to whether the Legal and Planning Advisers had any preliminary matters to raise, both the Legal and Planning Advisers advised that they had nothing to raise at this time.

The Chair asked the MLRB if it had sufficient information to determine the request for review. In response, the MLRB unanimously agreed that it had sufficient information.

The Chair, having had the opportunity to visit the site and consider the Applicant's grounds for review moved that the MLRB refuse the appeal and uphold the original decision of the Appointed Officer to refuse planning application 18/01495/PPP.

There being no-one otherwise minded, the MLRB agreed to dismiss Case LR223 and uphold the original decision of the Appointed Officer to refuse Planning Application 18/01495/PPP as the proposal is contrary to Policies PP1, E7, H7 and IMP1 of the Moray Local Development Plan 2015 and Supplementary Guidance 'Housing in the Countryside' (2015) and Guidance Note on Landscape and Visual Impacts of Cumulative Build-up of Housing in the Countryside (2017).

## **5 LR224 - Ward 5 - Heldon and Laich**

### **Planning Application 18/01478/APP – Erect single storey dwellinghouse within grounds of Torrieston House, Pluscarden**

A request was submitted by the Applicant seeking a review of the decision of the Appointed Officer, in terms of the Scheme of Delegation, to refuse an application on the grounds that:

The proposal is contrary to policies PP3, H7, IMP1 and E7 of the Moray Local Development Plan 2015 (MLDP) and the associated Supplementary Guidance: Housing in the Countryside for the following reason:

The site is part of a large open meadow and would be visually obtrusive roadside development. It would be a ribbon form of development diminishing the open separation of houses along the public road. The new house would not be integrated in the landscape and cumulatively, the introduction of an additional dwelling would contribute to the build-up of development within the surrounding area and thereby it would detract from, and be detrimental to, the character, appearance and amenity of the surrounding rural area and the open rural character of the Pluscarden valley setting would be undesirably compromised.

A Summary of Information Report set out the reasons for refusal, together with documents considered or prepared by the Appointed Officer in respect of the planning application, in addition to the Notice of Review, Grounds for Review and supporting documents submitted by the Applicant.

With regard to the unaccompanied site inspection carried out on 21 June 2019, the Chair stated that all present members of the Moray Local Review Body (MLRB) were shown the site where the proposed development would take place and had before them papers which set out both the reasons for refusal and the Applicant's grounds for review.

In response to a question from the Chair as to whether the Legal or Planning Advisers had any preliminary matters to raise, both the Legal and Planning Advisers advised that they had nothing to raise at this time.

The Chair asked the MLRB if it had sufficient information to determine the request for review. In response, the MLRB unanimously agreed that it had sufficient information.

The Chair, having had the opportunity to visit the site and consider the Applicant's grounds for review moved that the MLRB refuse the appeal and uphold the original decision of the Appointed Officer to refuse planning application 18/01478/APP.

There being no-one otherwise minded, the MLRB agreed to dismiss Case LR224 and uphold the original decision of the Appointed Officer to refuse Planning Application 18/01478/APP as the proposal is contrary to policies PP3, H7, IMP1 and E7 of the Moray Local Development Plan 2015 (MLDP) and the associated Supplementary Guidance: Housing in the Countryside.