Commissioning Service

KPMG Recommendations APPENDIX 1

High		Medium			Low	
No.	Audit Recommendation	Priority	Accepted (Yes/ No)	Progress Update as at 09 MAY 23	Responsible Officer	Timescale for Implementation
	ice - The Moray Integration Joint B				e gap between	the organisation
<u>and those c</u>	harged with governance, as there					T
2.1.1	Clear roles and responsibilities in relation to the management and oversight of social care commissioning activities need to be representative thought governance.	High	Yes	Action plan created for Management Commissioning meeting and date set to write the Terms of Reference.	Service Manager	31 Aug 23
2.1.2	Attendance and synastenes of	Himb	Yes	Head of Service has	Service	20 Fab 24
2.1.2	Attendance and expectance of attendees at governance meetings needs to be clarified	High	Yes	supported this process and clarity provided around meeting attendance	manager	29 Feb 24
2.1.3	Prioritise the production of action plans for each Managers Commissioning meeting.	High	Yes	Monthly meetings are scheduled and an action plan template and action log has been created.	Service Manager	31 May 23

Moray Integration Joint Board Commissioning Service

Team Structure & Roles

High		Medium			Low	
No.	Audit Recommendation	Priority	Accepted (Yes/ No)	Progress Update as at 09MAY23	Responsible Officer	Timescale for Implementation
2. Roles & I	Responsibilities – There is a lack o	f clarity and	roles and respor	nsibilities and poor cross or	ganisational rel	ationships
2.2.1	Every member of staff within the commissioning team should have a clear job description	High	Yes	Job descriptions are accurate for the commissioning team and staff know where to find the documents for future reference.	Service Manager	31 May 23
2.2.2	There is a need for collaboration across the various Council teams to produce shared learning and increased efficiency.	High	Yes	The senior commissioning officer and payment manager are meeting to review the commissioning and procurement interaction. There has been an initial meeting with the chief finance officer to discuss	Service Manager	31 Aug 23

Commissioning Service

			commissioning team and future direction of the commissioning function.		
rformance management of mmissioning required to be initored and tasks completed relessly	High	Yes	The commissioning team meet every week and discuss workload this is monitored with the work tracker Contract and monitoring plans for the year are in	Service Manager	29 Feb 24
mm nit	nissioning required to be cored and tasks completed	nissioning required to be cored and tasks completed	nissioning required to be cored and tasks completed	missioning required to be cored and tasks completed essly meet every week and discuss workload this is monitored with the work tracker	nissioning required to be cored and tasks completed essly Manager Manager Contract and monitoring plans for the year are in

Training

High		Medium			Low	
No.	Audit Recommendation	Priority	Accepted (Yes/ No)	Progress Update as at 09MAY23	Responsible Officer	Timescale for Implementation

Commissioning Service

2.3.1	Implement a clearly defined staff training plan. Guidance documents needs to be available for staff to refer to.	Medium	Yes	All commissioning staff members are trained in core and service specific training.	Service Manager	31 Aug 23
				A training plan is in the process of being created.		

Process Maps

igh		Medium			Low	
No.	Audit Recommendation	Priority	Accepted (Yes/ No)	Progress Update as at 09MAY23	Responsible Officer	Timescale for Implementation
Process	Maps		-		•	
2.4.1	A commissioning procedure needs to be created.	High	Yes	A procedure has been created and is awaiting staff consultation.	Service Manager	31 Aug 23
				A Contract Record Document has been created which captures all activity within the commissioning cycle.		

Commissioning Service

2.4.2	Commissioning staff will attend a session with management to discuss the commissioning procedure.	High	Yes	A meeting has taken place between managers and staff.	Service Manager	31 Aug 23
	A library of process maps is to be maintained and made available to staff for future reference.			Work has begun to look at the process maps		
2.4.3	Alignment with SDS financial regulations needs to take plane			SDS option 2 are approved and signed off by the budget manager	Service Managers	31 Aug 23

Sample Testing

Risk Ratings	for Recommendations			
High		Medium	Low	

Commissioning Service

No.	Audit Recommendation	Priority	Accepted (Yes/ No)	Progress Update as at 09MAY23	Responsible Officer	Timescale for Implementation
5. Sample T	esting					
2.5.1	All contracts will be signed and copies of these singed contracts stored for future reference.	High	Yes	All of the new contracts are signed and stored for future reference	Service Manager	28 Feb 25
2.5.2	Community care finance to ensure approved expenditure can easily be tied back to the underlying invoice.	High	Yes	Invoices are matched against Service Agreements within the Carefirst system, ensuring approved expenditure matches provider invoice. This is detailed within the Invoice Processing Standard Operating Procedure (SOP).	Service Manager	28 Feb 25
2.5.3	Community care finance will ensure that Invoices are not processed without adequate approval. Implement Moray IJB Financial Regulations.	High	Yes	Invoices are recorded and approved by managers. Moray Council Payments Team have a further check in place to ensure all invoices have appropriate approval. This is detailed within the Invoice Processing Standard Operating Procedure (SOP).	Service Manager	28 Feb 25

Commissioning Service

Service 2	Audit trail process created.	Yes	High	Audits of documentation:	2.5.4
Manager	Commissioning change of service and authorisation audit forms were created. Comments complaint and incidents form and contract monitoring forms were reviewed. Community Care Finance (CCF) participated in an internal audit of invoicing in 2022 and all recommendations have been met. It is anticipated a further follow up review will take place during 2023.	165	i ligii	Ensures that processes are consistently being followed across the organisation and fiscal responsibilities are being met.	2.0.4

. Strategy

Risk Rati	gs for Recommendations			
High		Medium	Low	

Commissioning Service

No.	Audit Recommendation	Priority	Accepted (Yes/ No)	Progress Update as at 09MAY23	Responsible Officer	Timescale for Implementation
6. Strategy						
2.6.1	Develop an implementation plan which supports the wider strategy.	Medium	Yes	The coming months will see all services contribute to the Moray HSCP Strategy by way of a delivery plan	Head of Service	29 Feb 24

Invoicing

Risk Ratings	s for Recommendations					
High		Medium			Low	
No.	Audit Recommendation	Priority	Accepted (Yes/ No)	Progress Update as at 09MAY23	Responsible Officer	Timescale for Implementation
7. Invoicing	•					
2.7.1	Formalise and document the approach to variances	Medium	Yes	The Community Care Finance (CCF) team have developed a Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) (February 2023) for the invoicing process.	Head of Service	29 Feb 24

Commissioning Service

2.7.2	To ensure the decision	Medium	Yes	Work is ongoing to	Head of Service	29 Feb 24
	making process is more			achieve this target,		
	robust and that the process is			governance is being		
	evidenced based with a			considered across the		
	adequate audit trail.			whole system.		

Contracts Register

Risk Ratin	gs for Recommendations					
High		Medium			Low	
No.	Audit Recommendation	Priority	Accepted (Yes/ No)	Progress Update as at 09MAY23	Responsible Officer	Timescale for Implementation
8. Contrac	cts Register					
2.8.1	To develop an expenditure contracts database.	High	Yes	Liaison work is continuing with procurement to align the contract data base and the procurement data base.	Service Manager	31 Aug 24
2.8.2	The database should be monitored, reviewed and routinely updated.	High	Yes	The Contract database is a protected document. Senior Commissioning Officers carries out 3 monthly checks for accuracy,	Service Managers	31 Aug 24

Commissioning Service

		Commissioning Departmental database is reviewed and redesigned and is in operation. Service Manager to do spot check on regular basis.		
2.8.3	Contract database will be shared and made available to all staff involved in the commissioning process.	Meetings are set up to share the database with the team.	Service Manager	31 Aug 24

Performance Management System

High		Medium			Low	
No.	Audit Recommendation	Priority	Accepted (Yes/ No)	Progress Update as at 09MAY23	Responsible Officer	Timescale for Implementation

Commissioning Service

To have a performance management system in place.	High	Yes	A performance management system will be tied in with the audit process.	Service Manager	29 Feb 24
---	------	-----	---	--------------------	-----------

Contract Review

Risk Rating	gs for Recommendations					
High		Medium			Low	
No.	Audit Recommendation	Priority	Accepted (Yes/ No)	Progress Update as at 09MAY23	Responsible Officer	Timescale for Implementation
10. Contrac	t Review		-			
2.10.1	Implement a regular contract review procedure that assesses the suitability of providers.	Medium	Yes	Contract Management & Review process in use.	Service Manager	31 Aug 23

Block Contracts

Risk Ratings	for Recommendations					
High		Medium			Low	
No.	Audit Recommendation	Priority	Accepted (Yes/ No)	Progress Update as at 09MAY23	Responsible Officer	Timescale for Implementation

Commissioning Service

2.11.1	Block contracts are appropriately monitored to ensure that best value is obtained	Low	Yes	Contracts are monitored in line with Contract Review Monitoring process. The process is to be reviewed.	Service Manager	31 Aug 23
--------	---	-----	-----	--	--------------------	-----------