



ltem 7

Appendix 1

## HEALTH AND SOCIAL CARE MORAY STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER

AS AT DECEMBER 2018



## 1 RISK SUMMARY

- 1. The Moray Integration Joint Board (MIJB) does not function as set out within the Integration Scheme, Strategic Plan and in-line with Standing Orders and fails to deliver its objectives or expected outcomes.
- 2. There is a risk of MIJB financial failure in that the demand for services outstripping available financial resources. Financial pressures being experienced by the funding Partners will directly impact on decision making and prioritisation of MIJB
- 3. Inability to recruit and retain qualified and experienced staff whilst ensuring staff are fully able to manage changes resulting from integration.
- 4. Inability to demonstrate effective governance and effective communication with stakeholders.
- 5. Inability to deal with unforeseen external emergencies or incidents as a result of inadequate emergency planning and resilience.
- 6. Risk to MIJB decisions resulting in litigation/judicial review. Expectations from external inspections are not met.
- 7. Inability to achieve progress in relation to national Health and Wellbeing Outcomes. Performance falls below acceptable level.
- 8. Risk of major disruption in continuity of ICT operations including data securitybeing compromised.
- 9. Requirements for ICT and Property are not prioritised by NHS Grampian and Moray Council.

RISK RATING	LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH	VERY HIGH
RISK MOVEMENT	DECREASE	NO CHANGE	INCREASE	

The process for managing risk is documented out with the MIJB Risk Policy.





mopay

1			
Description of	The Integration Joint Board (IJB) does not function as set out within the Integration Scheme, Strategic Plan and		
Risk:	Scheme of Administration and fails to deliver its objectives or expected outcomes.		
Political			
Lead:	Chief Officer		
Risk Rating:	Low/ medium/ high/ very high HIGH		
<b>Risk Movement:</b>	Increase/ decrease/ no change NO CHANGE		
Rationale for Risk	Change in membership of IJB committees following change in Moray Council political balance in July and subsequent		
Rating:	changes in membership due to retirals and long term sickness of two members.		
	Management capacity to fully complement structure		
Rationale for Risk	The MIJB has zero appetite for failure to meet its legal and statutory requirements and functions.		
Appetite:			
Controls:	Integration Scheme.		
	Strategic Plan.		
	Governance arrangements formally documented and approved.		
	Agreed risk appetite statement.		
	Performance reporting mechanisms.		
	Consultation with legal representative for all reports to committees and attendance at committee for key reports.		
Mitigating	Induction sessions will be held for new IJB members. IJB voting member briefings are held regularly. Conduct and		
Actions:	Standards training held for IJB Members July 18		
	SMT regular meetings and directing managers and teams to focus on priorities.		
	Strategic Plan is being reviewed for implementation with effect from 1 April 2019. New organisation structure and wider		
	system re-design and transformation governance structures being developed for implementation at the same time		
Assurances:	Audit, Performance and Risk Committee oversight and scrutiny.		
	Reporting to Board.		
Gaps in	None known		
assurance:			
Current	Scheme of administration is reported when any changes are required.		
performance:	Report outlining the development of the transformation plan and the Strategic Planning and Commissioning Group		

**NHS** Grampian Item 7

pic	28.8	
		providing oversight was presented and approved by MIJB on 29 November 2018.
	Comments:	Draft Performance Management Framework, aligned to strategic planning and resources was presented to MIJB (Jan
		18). Framework is under further development and Implementation is being progressed through HSCM Performance
		meetings. The Framework will continue to be developed as we confirm our new organisational structure and alignment
		to the new Strategic Plan will be a key focus. A report will be presented to MIJB in March 2019.

2			
Description of Risk: Financial	There is a risk of MIJB financial failure in that the demand for services outstripping available financial resources. Financial pressures being experienced by the funding Partners will directly impact on decision making and prioritisation of MIJB		
Lead:	Chief Officer/Chief Financial Officer		
Risk Rating:	Low/ medium/ high/ very high	VERY HIGH	
<b>Risk Movement:</b>	Increase/ decrease/ no change	NO CHANGE	
Rationale for Risk Rating:	ner ener een en		
Rationale for Risk Appetite:	MIJB recognises the pressures on the funding partners but also recognises the significant range of statutory services and nationally agreed contracts it is required to deliver on within that finite budget. MIJB has expressed a zero appetite for risk of harm to people.		
Controls:	Chief Finance Officer appointed - this role is crucial in ensuring sound financial managementand supporting financial decision making, budget reporting and escalation. Savings Plan presented to MIJB in March 2018. Further Savings have been presented in June 2018 in progression towards a balanced budget for 2018/19. Corrective action has been implemented through correspondence with budget		



	Item 7
S	Appendix
an	holders and increased scrutiny at senior management level.
Mitigating Actions:	Risk remains that the MIJB can deliver transformation and efficiencies at the pace required. Financial information is reported regularly to both the MIJB and Senior Management Team.
	The Chief Officer and Chief Financial Officer (CFO) continue to engage in the budget setting processes of both NHS Grampian and Moray Council to outline the significance of reduced funding and lack of investment and the subsequent risk to the partners as part of the risk sharing arrangement that exists.
	In an attempt to lessen the anticipated overspend – budget restrictions have been applied and communicated to all service managers for onward distribution to budget managers. Budget restrictions include the implementation of a higher level of authorisation for single items of expenditure over 5k (head of service) and 10k (senior management team). Senior management team scrutiny of vacancies and emerging pressures.
	Chief Officer and CFO will continue to engage with the partner organisations in respect of the forecast of overspend, corrective action and a recovery plan during 2018/19.
Assurances:	MIJB oversight and scrutiny of budget. Reporting through MIJB, NHS Grampian Board and Moray Council.
Gaps in assurance:	None known
Current performance:	Indicative budget for 18/19 was approved to allow services to continue on 29 March 2018 by MIJB members. The indicative budget showed a budget shortfall of £4.5m. A further paper was presented to the board on 28 June 2018 displaying a reduced budget shortfall of £3.3m. The forecast overspend to the end of the financial year as at Qtr 2 after consideration of strategic funds is £1.438m
Comments:	Senior managers to work with Chief Officer and Chief Financial Officer to address the continuing financial challenge and forecast overspend. Through reporting, regular updates will be provided to the MIJB, Moray Council and NHS Grampian as part of the risk sharing arrangement in place.





ltem 7

Appendix 1

3		
Description of Risk: Human Resources (People):	Inability to recruit and retain qualified and experienced staff whilst ensuring staff are fully able to manage change resulting from Integration	
Lead:	Chief Officer	
Risk Rating:	Low/ medium/ high/ very high	MEDIUM
Risk Movement:	Increase/ decrease/ no change	NO CHANGE
Rationale for Risk Rating:	Increasing workload experienced – being managed by recruiting to senior posts however the process for recruitment is proving timeconsuming through NHS processes, due to the number of posts required to be filled time as a result of the short term transformation funding.	
	The impact of budgetary decisions by the Council in relation to staffing levels in some key areas for Health and Socia Care Moray (HSCM) will not be fully known until after 12 December 2018	
Rationale for Risk Appetite:	The MIJB is acutely aware of the lean management team in place and the strain this can place on the wider system.	
Controls:	anagement structure in place with updates reported to the MIJB. rganisational Development and Workforce Plans have been developed and aligned with service priorities. ontinued activity to address specific recruitment and retention issues. anagement competencies being developed. ommunication Strategy developed and approved in June 2017 with the associated commitments are progressing as nticipated. cident reporting procedures in place per NHSG and Moray Council arrangements. ouncil and NHS performance systems in operation with HSCM reporting being further developed. MT review vacancies and approve for recruitment	
Mitigating Actions:	System re-design and transformation. Support has been provided from NHSG with transformation and our co- ordinated working with Dr Grays in a one system – one budget approach. Management Structure continues to be progressed and an update will be presented to the MIJB development session on 13 December. Joint Workforce Planning. Lead Managers are involved in regional and national initiatives to ensure all learning is adopted to improve this position.	

S	
an	Appendix
	Lead Managers and Professional Leads are linked to University Planning for intakes and programmes for future workforce development.
Assurances:	operational oversight by Moray Workforce Forum and reported to MIJB.
Gaps in	joint or single system not yet agreed for incident reporting.
assurance:	
Current performance:	iMatter survey undertaken during July 2018 across all operational areas. Insufficient responses from some services has meant that action plans have not been developed. This is to be addressed through Operational Management Team. Representation on NHS Grampian's HSE Expert Group and operational H&S meeting established in HSCM Organisational Development Plan presented and approved at MIJB in January 2018.
Comments:	Regular reporting and management control in place
	The Workforce plan will be developed and aligned with the strategic plan 2019- 2022

4		
Description of	Inability to demonstrate effective governance and effective communication with stakeholders.	
Risk:		
Regulatory:		
Lead:	Chief Officer	
Risk Rating:	low/medium/high/very high	MEDIUM
<b>Risk Movement:</b>	increase/decrease/no change	NO CHANGE
Rationale for Risk	Locality planning assessed as medium in relation to ability to work a	at the pace required and current workforce capacity.
Rating:		
	Performance framework to be further developed from a planning perspective to show the links through operational service delivery to strategic objectives.	
Rationale for Risk Appetite:	The MIJB has a low risk appetite to failure.	
Controls:	Annual Governance statement produced as part of the Annual Accounts 2017/18 and submitted to External Audit by the statutory deadline Performance reporting mechanisms in place and being further developed through operational performance management group	
	Community engagement in place for key projects areas such as Forres with information being made available to stakeholders and the wider public via HSCM website	



	Item 7	
S	Appendix	
Mitigating Actions:	Schedule of Committee meetings and development days in place and taking place.	
	Good working relationship established with Audit Scotland, the MIJB's appointed external auditors since 16/17.	
	The second Annual Performance Report published in August 2018. Lessons learned will be addressed and incorporated into the approach for the production of the 2018/19 Report.	
Assurances:		
Gaps in	Development session held by Clinical and Care Governance Committee on 29 November 2018 to identify areas that	
assurance:	they wish to see covered at Committee in future reports. This will be taken forward and a programme will be developed for 2019/20	
Current	Communications Strategy developed and approved by MIJB in June 2017.	
performance:	Annual Performance Report 2017/18 published August 2018	
-	Draft Annual Accounts (2017/18) published by the statutory deadline of 30 June. Audited Accounts published 27 September 2018	
Comments:	NHS Grampian Senior Leadership Team are developing their framework for governance and HSCM are fully engaging and participating in this process.	

5		
Description of Risk:	Inability to deal with unforeseen external emergencies or incidents as a result of inadequate emergency planning and resilience.	
Environmental:		
Lead:	Chief Officer	
Risk Rating:	low/medium/high/very high	
Risk Movement:	increase/decrease/no change INCREASED	
Rationale for Risk Rating:	Resilience standards and implementation plan agreed however progress is behind target.	
	Potential impact of Brexit is being assessed at a National level and have highlighted key areas for assessment. Work is being undertaken by NHS Grampian and Moray Council to assess potential issues on workforce and potential impacts resulting from supply chain disruption (medical supplies, energy/fuel supplies) as well as potential for increased civil disruption.	
Rationale for Risk	The MIJB understand the requirement to meet the statutory obligati	ons set out within the Civil Contingencies Act.





Appendix 1

an	mopay
Appetite:	council
Controls:	Surge Plan in place and has been tested alongside NHSG plans for winter. Lead Officer identified working alongside Emergency Planner. Local resilience plan developed.
	NHS Grampian Resilience Standards Action Plan approved (3 year).
	Business Continuity Plans in place for most services.
	Surge Plan developed and approved by MIJB 29 November 2018
Mitigating	Meeting of HSCM resilience group held on 4 December to consider and prioritise actions in relation to the Resilience
Actions:	standards.
	Pandemic awareness briefing by Maha Saeed, Consultant Lead, scheduled for 12 December for service managers across HSCM.
Assurances:	Audit, Performance and Risk Committee and NHS Grampian Civil Contingencies Group oversight and scrutiny.
Gaps in	Programme and implementation of Table top exercises for business continuity.
assurance: Some progress has been made however further work required to address the targets in the implement have not been met.	
	NHSG Civil Contingencies Group have highlighted some areas for action in relation to the Resilience standards
Current performance:	Many services have business continuity arrangements however the majority are overdue for an update. These updates will include consideration of the impact of a Pandemic following a briefing session to be held on 12 December 2018.
Comments:	Planning assumptions will be clarified to facilitate the production of service business continuity arrangements with regard to loss of electricity as a result of the increased risk assessment.
	The HSCM resilience group will schedule and review progress in achieving the NHSG resilience standards, reporting updates to Operational Management Team and by exception to Senior Management Team.





6		
Description of Risk: Reputational	Risk to MIJB decisions resulting in litigation/judicial review. Expecta	tions from external inspections are not met.
Lead:	Chief Officer	
Risk Rating:	low/medium/high/very high	MEDIUM
<b>Risk Movement:</b>	increase/decrease/no change	NO CHANGE
Rationale for Risk Rating:	Considered medium risk due to the reporting arrangements being relatively new	
Rationale for Risk Appetite:	The MIJB has some appetite for reputational risk relating to testing change and being innovative. The MIJB has zero appetite for harm happening to people.	
Controls:	Clinical and Care Governance (CCG) Committee established and future reporting requirements identified Links for operational Risk Registers being developed Complaints procedure in place Adverse events and duty of candour procedures in place and being actioned where appropriate. Reports from external inspections reported to appropriate operational groups and by exception to SMT for subsequent reporting to CCG or Audit Performance and Risk Committee as appropriate.	
Mitigating Actions:	This is discussed regularly by the three North East Chief Officers. Additional resource has been allocated to support the analysis of information for presentation to CCG committee	
Assurances:	Audit, Performanceand Risk and Clinical and Care Governance Sub-Committees oversight and scrutiny.	
Gaps in assurance:	Process for highlighting recurring themes or strategic expectations from external inspections requires further development to ensure Committee has sight of significant issues.	
Current performance:	External inspection reports are reviewed and actions identified.	
Comments:	Self Directed Support Thematic review by the Care Inspectorate too	k place during October 2018, awaiting the report





7		
Description of Risk: Operational Continuity and Performance:	Inability to achieve progress in relation to national Health and Wellbeing Outcomes. Performance falls below acceptable level.	
Lead:	Chief Officer	
Risk Rating:	low/medium/high/very high	MEDIUM
<b>Risk Movement:</b>	increase/decrease/no change	NO CHANGE
Rationale for Risk Rating:	Potential impacts to the wide range of services in NHS Grampian and Moray Council commissioned by the MIJB arising from reductions in available staff resources as budgetry constraints impact. Unplanned admissions or delayed discharges place additional cost and capacity burdens on the service.	
Rationale for Risk Appetite:	Zero tolerance of harm happening to people as a result of action or inaction.	
Controls:	Performance Management reporting framework. Strategic Plan and Implementation Plan developed and approved. Performance regularly reported to MIJB. Revised Scorecard being developed. Best practice elements from each body brought together to mitigate risks to MIJB's objectives and outcomes. Chief Officer and SMT managing workload pressures as part of budget process.	
Mitigating Actions:	Service managers monitor performance regularly. Operational Performance Management Group are reviewing key performance indicators across HSCM services Delayed discharges and associated indicators are monitored closely via weekly "huddle" meetings and there is a monthly focus on aspects of unscheduled care.	
Assurances:	Audit, Performance and Risk Committee oversight. Operationally managed by OMT with strategic direction provided by SMT.	
Gaps in assurance:	None known	
Current performance:	Close monitoring and performance management in place. The process for production of the Strategic Plan 2019-22 is underway and will facilitate further linkages across	

**NHS** Grampian Item 7

pla	111	moday
		operational, Local and National Performance Indicators with progress in delivery of the National Outcomes as a clear
		focus.
	Comments:	Regular and ongoing reporting.
		Performance monitoring and reporting under review to identify key performance indicators and appropriate owners.

8		
Description of	Risk of major disruption in continuity of ICT operations, including data security, being compromised	
Risk:		
ICT		
Lead:	Chief Officer	
Risk Rating:	low/medium/high/very high	MEDIUM
<b>Risk Movement:</b>	increase/decrease/no change	INCREASED
Rationale for Risk	sk Corporate Information Security policies in place and staff are required to complete training and confirm they have read,	
Rating:	understood and accept the terms of use. Impact of Brexit may result in disruption to energy supplies which could impac	
	on continuity of ICT operations in the short term	
Rationale for Risk Appetite:	MIJB has a low tolerance in relation to not meeting requirements.	
Controls: Computer Use Policies and HR policies in place for NHS and Moray Council.		
	Business Continuity Plans being updated to fully reflect ICT disruption. PSN accreditation secured by Moray Council	
	Guidance regularly issued to staff.	
	Guidance on effective data security measures issued to staff.	
Mitigating	Protocol for access to systems by employees of partner bodies to be developed.	
Actions:	Information Management arrangements to be developed and endorsed by MIJB.	
	Integrated Infrastructure Group established, with ICT representation provide solutions to data sharing issues and ICT infrastructure matter Information sharing groups are being developed and communicated	ers. Linkages to Infrastructure board and
Assurances:	Strict policies and protocols in place with NHS Grampian and Moray Council.	
Gaps in	None known	



Item 7

Appendix 1

assurance:	POPCY COUNCIL STREET	
Current	Training programme to be developed on records management, data protection and related issues for staff working	
performance:		
Comments:	Data sharing groups for Grampian and Health and Social Care Moray have been established and meetings held. They will have oversight of any issues arising from Data protection and GDPR matters from either Council or NHS systems. Business Continuity arrangements are being reviewed with a focus on impact of loss of energy and consequential impact on ICT	

9			
Description of Risk: Infrastructure	Requirements for ICT and Property are not prioritised by NHS Grampian and Moray Council.		
Lead:	Chief Officer		
Risk Rating:	low/medium/high/very high	HIGH	
Risk Movement:	increase/decrease/no change	NO CHANGE	
Rationale for Risk Changes to processes and necessary stakeholder buy-in still bedding in.   Rating: Changes to processes and necessary stakeholder buy-in still bedding in.		ng in.	
	ensure only essential expenditure is incurred. This includes the con Capital plan.	il, in predicting a budget deficit for the current financial year have implemented special arrangements to ssential expenditure is incurred. This includes the consideration to the deferring of projects already in the ises, Infrastructure and Digital Manager in place to provide additional leadership in relation to major projects.	
Rationale for Risk Appetite:	Low tolerance in relation to not meeting requirements.		
Controls:	Chief Officer has regular meetings with partners		
	Infrastructure Programme Board established with Chief Officer as Se CMT. Process for submission of projects to the infrastructure board i all projects underway in HSCM.		
Mitigating Actions:	Dedicated project Manager in place – monitoring/managing risks of the Programme Membership of the Board reviewed and revised to ensure representation of all existing infrastructure processes and funding opportunities.		

-



S	Appendix	
lian	Process for ensuring infrastructure change/investment requests developed Infrastructure Manager linked into other Infrastructure groups within NHSG & Moray Council to ensure level of 'gatekeeping'	
Assurances:	Infrastructure Programme Board function to provide robust governance and assurance that proposed projects have a robust business case and meets requirements of the respective partner organisations. This board reports to Strategic Planning and Commissioning Group.	
Gaps in assurance:	Further work is required on developing the process for approval for projects so that they are progressed timeously. Need to review all existing processes in relation to infrastructure changes/projects/investments and streamline to avoid duplication of effort.	
Current performance:	The board has not met in the past quarter. A meeting is being scheduled.	
Comments:	The development of the processes around the Infrastructure Board and its governance positioning are still a work in progress. Interim Premises, Infrastructure and Digital Development manager appointed as lead with further resource being funded by NHS to take forward transformation projects in the next 12 months.	