INTEGRATED IMPACT ASSESSMENT COVERING

- EQUALITIES & SOCIO ECONOMIC DUTIES
- HUMAN RIGHTS AND RIGHTS OF THE CHILD

STAGE 1 - DO I NEED AN INTEGRATED IMPACT ASSESSMENT?

Name of policy or proposal: Reduce roads staffing establishment			
Is this a	Mark X below		
New activity, programme or policy?	X		
Change to an existing activity, programme or policy?	X		
Budget proposal?	Yes		

Duties: tick the boxes you think apply	No	Maybe	Yes
Equalities : Will your proposal have an impact on groups with protected characteristics?	X		
Consider the impact of your proposal on people and how they access your services and information without barriers.			
Socio-economic	Х		
Not every person/family has access to regular income or savings. Will your proposal have an adverse impact on them			
Does your proposal impact on the human rights of people?	Х		
Does your proposal impact on the rights of children and young people	Х		

Reasoning

Briefly describe your reasoning for the responses given above:

It is proposed to reduce 3FTE within the roads operational staff as a result of reductions in revenue budgets.

There will be no change to current working practices. Current policies/procedures are regularly discussed at quarterly Roads management team meetings covering present needs and future aspirations. Diversity and relevance plays a major part within these meetings and is driven from the corporate plan and local outcome improvement plan (LOIP).

There is currently a vacancy gap greater than the proposed reduction level therefore unlikely to directly impact on any current employees.

If you have answered "maybe" or "yes" to any of the Stage 1 questions above then proceed to complete the Stage 2 Integrated Impact Assessment questions below.

If you have answered "no" to the Stage 1 questions above then provide the details below and submit to [email]			
Lead Officer for developing the contract	Mark Atherton		
Other people involved in the screening (this may be council staff, partners or others i.e. contractor or community)	12.10.23 – Approved RG in absence of NM		
Date	27.09.23		

STAGE 2: INTEGRATED IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Brief description of the affected service
1. Describe what the service does:
2. Who are your main stakeholders?
3. What changes as a result of the proposals? Is the service reduced or removed?
4. How will this affect your customers?
5. Impact on staff providing the service

6. Please indicate if these apply to any of the protected characteristics			
Protected groups	Potential impacts and considerations		
Race			
Disability			
Carers (for elderly, disabled or			
minors)			
Sex			
Pregnancy and maternity (including			
breastfeeding)			
Sexual orientation			
Age (include children, young			
people, midlife and older people)			
Religion, and or belief			
Gender reassignment			
Inequalities arising from socio-			
economic differences			

Human rights

List of convention rights	Describe, where applicable, if and how specific rights are engaged
Article 5: Right to liberty and	
security	
Article 6: Right to a fair trial	

List of convention rights	Describe, where applicable, if and how specific rights are engaged
Article 8: Right to respect for	
private and family life,	
correspondence and the home	
Article 10: Freedom of expression	
Article 11: Freedom of assembly	
and association	
Article 12: Right to marry	
Article 14: Prohibition of	
discrimination (in relation to the	
convention rights)	
Article 1 of Protocol 1: Protection	
of property	
Article 2 of Protocol 1: Right to	
education	
Article 3 of Protocol 1: Right to free	
elections by secret ballot	

Children's Rights and Wellbeing

ermanen e raginte anta trembenig	
Relevant articles – UNCRC	
Article 2 – Non discrimination	
Article 12 – Respect of the views of the	
child	
Article 3.1 – Best interest of the child	
Article 6.2 – Right to survival and	
development	

7. Evidence. What information have you used to make your assessment?

Performance data	
Internal	
consultation	
Consultation with	
affected groups	
Local statistics	
National	
statistics	
Other	

8. Evidence gaps

Do you need additional information in order to complete the information in the previous questions?

9. Mitigating action

Can the impact of the proposed policy/activity be mitigated? Please explain

10. Justification

If nothing can be done to reduce the negative impact(s) but the proposed policy/activity must go ahead, what justification is there to continue with the change?

SECTION 3 CONCLUDING THE IIA

Concluding the IIA

No potential negative impacts on any of the protected groups were found.	
2. Some potential negative impacts have been identified.	
The impacts relate to:	
Reducing discrimination, harassment, victimisation or other conduct prohibited under the Equality Act 2010	
Promoting equality of opportunity	
Fostering good relations	
3. The proposals interfere with human rights and/or the rights of the child	
4. Negative impacts can be mitigated the proposals as outlined in question 8	
5. The negative impacts cannot be fully mitigated but are justified as outlined in question 9.	
6. Further consultation with affected groups is needed.	
7. It is advised not to go ahead with the proposals.	

_			-		
. 1	e			$\hat{}$	n
		 	•	.,	

Set out the rationale for deciding whether or not to proceed with the proposed actions:

Date of Decision:

Sign off and authorisation:

Service	
Department	
Policy/activity subject to IIA	
We have completed the integrated impact	Name: Mark Atherton
assessment for this policy/activity.	Position: Roads Maintenance Manager
	Date: 27.09.23
Authorisation by head of service	Name: Nicola Moss
	Position: Head of Environmental &
	Commercial Services
	Date: 29 September 2023
Permission to publish on website -	
Please return this form to the Equal Opport	unities Officer, Chief Executive's Office.